

Coastal Engineering Technical Note



BREAKING WAVE FORCES ON WALLS

<u>PURPOSE</u>: To introduce the Goda method as an alternative to the Minikin method for the determination of breaking wave forces on semirigid wall structures.


INTRODUCTION: Prediction of breaking wave forces on vertical walls is required for the design of wall structures in coastal waters. The Standard procedure followed by most harbor and coastal engineers in the US is the Minikin method documented in detail in the Shore Protection Manual (SPM, 1984). As the Minikin method is based on the shock pressure caused by breaking waves, the resulting forces and structure designs analyzed by using this procedure are generally considered to be conservative. The SPM cautions its users about the extremely high wave forces associated with the Minikin method.

A less conservative method recommended by Goda (1974) is an alternative procedure for breaking wave force determination. The Technical Standards for Port and Harbour Facilities in Japan (1980) has adopted the Goda method but cautions that this method may underestimate the wave force. A factor of safety of 1.2 is recommended for structure design against sliding and overturning. The rationale of using the Goda method for design analysis is that the duration of the impulsive breaking force is relatively brief, on the order of tenth or hundredth of a second, and the effect of this force on the stability of massive concrete wall structures, particularly those with rubble mound bases, may be rather insignificant. In design practice, conditions that cause the occurrence of impulsive wave loading on structures should be avoided (Goda, 1985). For sensitive coastal structures, physical modeling may be required to ascertain the avoidance of impulsive breaking wave conditions.

maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu ald be aware that notwithstanding an DMB control number.	ion of information. Send commentarters Services, Directorate for Inf	s regarding this burden estimate formation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the 1215 Jefferson Davis	nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington		
1. REPORT DATE MAR 1988		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVE 00-00-1988	RED 8 to 00-00-1988		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER					
Breaking Wave Forces On Walls					5b. GRANT NUMBER		
					5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)					5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
					5e. TASK NUMBER		
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
U. S. Army Enⅇ	zation name(s) and at r Waterway-s Expe . 0. Box 631,Vicksbu	riment Station,Coa	astal En@wering	8. PERFORMING REPORT NUMB	G ORGANIZATION ER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)					10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
	11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)						
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAIL Approved for publ	ABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distribut	ion unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	TES						
14. ABSTRACT							
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON			
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	4			

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188



Breaking Wave Pressures on a Vertical Wall

GODA'S FORMULAS: The above figure shows the structure configuration for which the Goda method is applied and illustrates the linear pressure distribution on the wall face due to wave impact. The key components of the pressure diagram are:

$$P_1 = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \cdot \omega \cdot H_b$$

$$P_3 = \alpha_3 \cdot P_1$$

$$P_{+} = (1 - r/R) \cdot P_{+}$$

where

$$R = 1.5 \cdot H_h$$

 $\alpha_1 = 0.6 + 0.5(4\pi D/L/\sinh(4\pi D/L))^2$

$$\alpha_2 = \min\left(\left(\frac{h - d_s}{3h}\right)\left(\frac{H_b}{d_s}\right)^2, \frac{2d_s}{H_b}\right)$$

$$a_3 = 1 - d_s/D(1 - 1/\cosh(2\pi D/L))$$

 ω = specific weight of water

H, = highest of the random waves breaking at a distance of 5H_s seaward of the wall; H_s is the significant wave height of the design sea state

h = water depth at where Hb is determined

min(a.b) = smaller of a and b

L = wavelength calculated by linear wave theory at the wall

According to Goda (1985), the above equations are applicable to either breaking or nonbreaking wave conditions.

WAVE FORCE AND MOMENT: The integration of pressure distribution on the wall yields the force per unit length of the structure, F,

$$F = 0.5 \cdot (P_1 + P_3) \cdot d_s + 0.5 \cdot (P_1 + P_4) \cdot (d_s + hc)$$

where hc is the centroid of the pressure prism defined by P₁ and P₄ above the mean water level. The overturning moment, M due to waves is

$$M = F \cdot hc$$

where hc' is the centroid of pressure prism above the wall base.

APPLICATION PROCEDURE: The Goda method is developed for the design of caisson type breakwaters with rubble foundations. The following procedures are recommended for the design analysis.

- a. Select a design sea state and identify the significant wave height, H_s , and significant wave period, T_s .
 - b. Determine h by h = D + 5 m H_{11} where m is the bottom slope.
- c. Calculate the breaking wave height, H_b, at h. Note that if H_b is greater than the maximum wave height of the design sea state, there will be no breaking wave force exerted on the wall. In that case, use the maximum wave height for the wave force analysis or use methods described in the SPM (1984). The maximum wave height may be estimated as 1.8 times H_b according to Goda (1985).
- d. Calculate wavelength L for depth D using the significant wave period of the design sea state and the linear wave theory or Tables C-1 and C-2 of Appendix C of the SPM.
- e. Calculate the wave force and moment using Goda equations provided in this note.

EXAMPLE: Given a vertical wall, 4.3 m (14 ft.) high sited in sea water with $d_s = 2.5$ m (8.2 ft.). The wall is built on a bottom slope of 1:20 (m = 0.05). Reasonable wave periods range from 6 to 10 seconds. Find the maximum pressure, horizontal force, and overturning moment about the toe of the wall.

Since there is no rubble mound base, the water depth $D = d_8 = 2.5$ m. For T = 10 seconds, find by using Figure 7-4 of the SPM, the design breaking wave height, $H_b = 3.2$ m. Without knowledge of significant wave height, H_s , the breaking depth, h, is determined directly by using Figure 7-2, which yields h = 3.07 m. The wave breaks at a distance of 11.4 m (=(3.07 - 2.5)/.05) from the wall. Using Table C-1 of Appendix C, SPM or computer program SINWAVES, wave length, L at D = 2.5 m is determined to be 48.7 m. Then, $a_1, a_2, and a_3$ are calculated to be 1.036, 0.101, and 0.950, respectively. Furthermore,

$$R = 1.5 H_b = 4.8 m > 1.8 m$$
 (overtopping)

The pressure components are calculated as

$$P_{\perp} = (a_1 + a_2) \cdot w \cdot H_b$$
$$= 36.4 kN/m^2$$

$$P_3 = a_3.P_1$$

 $= 34.6 kN/m^2$

$$P_{4} = P_{1} \cdot 3.0/4.8$$

 $= 22.8 kN/m^{2}$

The total horizontal force due to breaking wave is

$$F = .5 \cdot (P_1 + P_4) \cdot 1.8 + .5 \cdot (P_1 + P_3) \cdot 2.5$$

= 142 kN/m

The overturning moment about the toe excluding moments due to uplift and hydrostatic forces is

$$M = 289 \text{ kN-m/m}$$

Similar procedures are used to calculate the peak pressure, force and moment on the wall due to the 6 second wave. This example is the same as EXAMPLE PROBLEM 34 of Page 7-182 of SPM. The comparison with results based on the Minikin method are summarized in the following table.

		Goda	Method	Minikin	Method
Wave Period	(sec)	6	10	6	10
Wave Period P ₁ (kN/m ²)		26.6	36.4	336	176
$F^{\perp}(kN/m)$		99.6	142	309	194
M (kN-m/m)		204	289	772	485

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: For additional information contact the CERC Coastal Design Branch, (601) 634-2067.

REFERENCES:

Goda, Y. 1974. "New Wave Pressure Formulae for Composite Breakwater," Proceedings of the 14th Conference on Coastal Engineering, Copenhagen, Denmark.

Goda, Y. 1985. <u>Random Seas and Design of Maritime Structures</u>, University of Tokyo Press, Toyko, Japan.

Shore Protection Manual, 4th ed., 1984. Coastal Engineering Research Center, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC.

Technical Standards for Port and Harbor Facilities in Japan. 1980. The Overseas Coastal Area Development Institute of Japan, Tokyo, Japan.