

the radius of the pitch circle in which the tension element travels, in. The internal frictional rigidity of the tension element causes a sag at the driving end  $T_1$  of the lever arm  $R$  an amount  $h_1$  in inches, and at the following end a lengthening of the lever arm  $R$  an amount  $= h_2$  in. Then, simultaneous winding on and off,  $T_1(R - h_1) = T_2(R + h_2)$ . Approximately,  $T_1 = [1 + (2h/R)]T_2$ . If the element is only wound on the drum,  $h_1 = 0$  and  $T_1 = [1 + (h/R)]T_2$ . Hence the coefficient of friction between the link faces or pivots is to 0.3; and when  $d =$  the diam. of the link pin,  $h = fd/2$ . For **hemp ropes**  $h = 0.03d^2$  to  $0.09d^2$  according to the construction, and condition of the rope. In the absence of reliable values for **wire ropes** those for chains may be tentatively used.

**Elastic rigidity** of the material, i.e., the work performed in changing the tension element, is not a factor in simultaneous winding on and off the lever arm  $R$  is increased equally at the points of winding on and off the work expended in bending the tension element as it is wound on and off as it straightens out in unwinding. But if there is only winding on, the lost work due to the bending is to be taken into account.

**Work Due to Creeping.** Creeping is due to the elastic elongation of the tension element and must not be confused with the slip due to insufficient frictional grip on the pulley or drum. Due to a change in tension from the winding-on to the winding-off end, the length of the tension element varies in such a way that the driving pulley runs on a greater length than it winds off, the reverse being true for the driven pulley. This causes a loss of relative velocity which is equal to the lost-work  $V$ . Assuming a uniform distribution of the tension over each cross-section of the tension element, there results for the entire drive system, i.e., for driving plus the driven pulley,  $V = k(T_1 - T_2)/A = kP/A$ , where  $P$  is in lb.,  $k$  is the modulus of elasticity of element in sq. in., and  $k = 1/E$ ,  $E$  being the modulus of elasticity of the tension element in lb. per sq. in. Since  $P$  is transferred at the inner face of the tension element (belt), the tension (and therefore elongation) is materially greater on the side of the belt in contact with the pulley than  $T_1k/A$ , also  $V > kP/A$ . It is further to be considered that  $k$  decreases with increasing tension, thus reducing the value of  $V$ . Each recognizes the lack of uniform tension distribution and the variation by the use of an empirical constant  $m$ , thus:  $V = mkP/A = mP/AE = V/E$ , where  $p = P/A$ . He gives the following values of  $m$ ,  $p$ ,  $E$  and  $V$ .

	$m$	$p$ , lb. per sq. in.	$E$ , lb. per sq. in.	$V$ , mp/E, per cent.
Other belt, new.....	2.00	140	17,800	1.6
Other belt, used.....	2.00	140	32,000	0.9
Hemp rope*.....	1.25	137	107,000	0.16
Wire rope, new*.....	1.50	4300	10,000,000	0.065

**Efficiency of Rope and Chain Sheaves** at low speeds, including journal friction (180-deg. contact):

For fixed sheaves, chain and wire rope,  $e = 0.94$  to  $0.96$ .  
For floating sheaves, chain and wire rope,  $e = 0.97$ .

Hemp rope sheaves:		1	1½	2
Rope diam., in.....	%			
Fixed sheaves: $e =$	0.95-0.96	0.91-0.96	0.89-0.93	0.84-0.92
Floating sheaves $e =$	0.97	0.96	0.95	0.94

\*  $p$  and  $E$  are based on the actual cross-section of the strands and wires, i.e., for hemp rope,  $A = 0.66\pi d^2/4$ , and for wire rope  $A = 0.42\pi d^2/4$ , where  $d =$  diam. of rope in inches.