Improve pressure drop calculations for saturated water lines

When saturated water flows through pipes and components, it flashes-thus increasing its specific volume and limiting the fluid flowrate. Due to these conditions, the calculation and design for piping associated with boilers and steam generation are very complicated. To avoid oversizing of pipes and components, improved equations can more accurately calculate the pressure drop for saturated water at high pressure.

Traditional method. The present way to design these lines involve iterative or graphic methods.¹⁻⁴ This approach is very time-consuming. Based on practical experience with power and nuclear plant projects, a new equation was effectively developed to calculate the flowrate of saturated water as a function of the pressure drop. It also includes the pipe resistance coefficient, saturation pressure, and the internal pipe diameter for critical and noncritical conditions.

New proposed equation. Most practical cases that must be resolved are related to $\Delta P/P_s \ge 0.2$. The new equation proposed is:

$$W = 280C_{E}D^{2}\ln P_{S} (\Delta P/v_{s}K)^{0.5}$$
(1)

The coefficient, C_E , is taken from FIG. 1. It is referenced to $P_s = 1,000$ psia. In FIG. 1, $\Delta P/P_s$ is valid for saturated water pressure, P_s . This equation is similar to that existing for steam and gases.⁶ From this equation, $W = 1.891 YD^2 (\Delta P/v_s K)^{0.5}$. For $P_s = 1,000$ psia and saturated water, it will be $W = 1,891C_ED^2$ $(\Delta P/v_s K)^{0.5}$. When P_s is corrected by $C_E 0.148 \ln P_s$, the results yield a new equation.

The results obtained from Eq. 1 are multiplied by the correction factors—*C1*, *C2* and *C3*—in these cases:

Case 1-C1. When there are critical conditions at the end of the pipe and $P_s \le 100$ psia and $K \le 100$, then apply *C1*.

 $C1 = 1.33 / P_{s}^{0.06}$

Case 2-C2. When there are noncritical conditions at the end of the pipe and $P_s \leq 200$ psia, then apply C2.

 $C2 = 0.82K^{0.04}$

Case 3-C3. When there are noncritical conditions at the end of the pipe and $P_s > 200$ psia and $P_s \le 400$ psia, then apply C3.

$$C3 = (1.61 + 0.001K) / P_s^{0.07}$$

In the rare cases when $\Delta P/P_s < 0.2$, apply C1 for critical conditions at the end of the pipe and $C4 = 1.25/P_s^{0.03}$ for noncritical conditions and when $P_s \leq 500$ psia. The results of the new equation plus the defined corrections can provide values very similar to that obtained with the present calculation methods.

Critical conditions at the end of the pipe. Conditions do change. FIGS. 2 and 3 illustrate conditions for P_{s} , critical pressure at the end of the pipe, P_{CR} , and the pipe resistance coefficient, K, from the point of the pipe where the flashing starts until the end of the pipe.

For selected *K* and P_s values, if the receiver pressure where the pipe ends, P_R , is \geq than the corresponding P_{CR} value (FIGS. 2 and 3), then the flashed water enters into the receiver with the pressure $P_F = P_{CR}$; now, the flow is critical. Conversely, if $P_R >$ P_{CR} , then the pressure at the end of the pipe is shown as $P_F = P_R$.

The total pressure drop (ΔP) can be estimated as $P_s - P_{CR}$ or $P_{\rm s} - P_{\rm R}$. The saturated water flow, *W*, is calculated applying Eq. 1.

Example: Heater drain line. As shown in FIG. 4, normally, the pipe upstream of the control valve is designed to ensure that the pressure at the valve entrance (point 1) equals the saturation pressure, P_s ; thus, flashing of the water starts at this point. The point 1 to F will be discussed and it includes the control valve and the pipe run, 2-F, to calculate the passing flowrate equal or greater than the maximum required W. The starting data are W, P_s and P_R , and we must determine the valve capacity and the layout and pipe diameter of the run 2-F.



FIG. 1. Values for expansion coefficient for saturated water including pipe and components when $P_s = 1,000$ psia.

As a rule of thumb, if $P_R \le 0.3 P_s$, the design of 1-F is done so that $P_F = P_{CR} > P_R$. Conversely, if $P_R > 0.3P_s$, the design of 1-F is done so that $P_F = P_R$.

The calculation and design of the run 1-F should follow these steps:

Step 1. Calculate the maximum allowable pressure drop in the valve, ΔP_{vmax} , 100% open for the maximum required flow, *W*:⁵

 $\Delta P_{vmax} = 0.04 F_L^2 P_S [1 + 7(P_S/3, 206)^{0.5}]$



FIG. 2. Relationship between P_{CR} , K and P_s for the flow of saturated water through pipes and components.







FIG. 4. Example of design pipe run with control valve to maximize the flowrate of saturated water.

This equation is represented in **FIG. 5.** Note: If $P_S - \Delta P_{vmax} \le P_R$, then select a pressure drop in the valve where $\Delta P_v = 0.9$ $(P_S - P_R)$ and hold the remaining 10%, or

 $0.1(P_s - P_R)$ for the pressure drop in the pipe run 2-F. In this case, it will be $P_F = P_R$.

Step 2. Calculate the valve flow coefficient, C_{ν} :

$$C_{v} = (W/63.3)(v_{s}/\Delta P_{vmax})^{0.5}$$

Step 3. Calculate the equivalent pipe resistance coefficient of the valve, referred to the run 2-F diameter, *D*:

 $K_{\nu} = 894 D^4 / C_{\nu}^2$

Step 4. With the new pipe diameter for the run 2-F and based on the pipe layout (straight run, number of elbows and receiver entrance), calculate the pipe resistance coefficient:⁶

$$K_{2F} = fL/D$$

Step 5. The total pipe resistance coefficient of the run 1-F will be $K = K_v + K_{2F}$. Check in **FIGS. 2** or **3** for *K* and P_S to confirm the value of P_{CR} . If $P_{CR} \ge P_R$, then pressure at the end of the pipe, point F, will be $P_F = P_{CR}$. If $P_{CR} < P_R$, then it will be $P_F = P_R$.

Step 6. Finally, use Eq. 1 and its corrections to calculate the flowrate, taking for $\Delta P = P_S - P_{CR}$ or $P_S - P_R$ according to the Step 5.

If the calculated flowrate is equal to or greater than the maximum required, the assumed *D* value is correct. If it is lower, try a larger value for *D* and repeat Steps 3 through 6.

Numerical example. Calculate the control valve and the pipe diameter of the run 2-F. Assume that the starting data are: $P_1 = P_s = 200$ psia; $v_s = 0.01839$ ft³/lb; $P_R = 100$ psia; $F_L = 0.9$

Layout of the run 2-F: straight run = 10 ft; 3 standard elbow 90°; receiver entrance, K = 1

Maximum required flow = 200,000 lb/hr.



FIG. 5. Maximum allowable pressure drop through control valves for saturated water when the entrance pressure, $P_1 = P_s$.

Applying estimation steps and estimates:

- 1) $\Delta P_{vmax} = 0.04 \times 0.9^2 \times 200[1 + 7(200/3,206)^{0.5}] =$ 17.81 psi
- 2) $C_v = (200,000/63.3)(0.01839/17.81)^{0.5} = 101.53$

For a standard globe valve, the C_v may correspond to a 4-in. size valve.

- 3) Try for run 2-F with the diameter D = 4 in.; now, $K_v =$ $894 \times 4^4/101.53^2 = 22.2$
- 4) For D = 4 in. and turbulent flow, the estimate is:⁶ f = 0.016 and every 90° standard elbow has L/D = 30, so K_{2F} will be:
- $K_{2F} = 1 + 0.016 \times 10 \times 12/4 + 0.016 \times 3 \times 30 = 2.92$ 5) K = 22.2 + 2.92 = 25.12

Using FIG. 3, $P_s = 200$ psia and K = 25.12 is $P_{CR} = 77$ psia and $P_R = 100$ psia, so $P_F = P_R = 100$ psia and $\Delta P = P_S - P_R = 100$ psi

6) Use Eq. 1 to calculate the flowrate. First, obtain $C_{\rm E}$ from FIG. 1.

For $\Delta P/P_s = 100/200 = 0.5$ and K = 25.12, it is $C_E = 0.593$ $W = 280 \times 0.593 \times 4^2 \times \ln 200(100/0.01839 \times 25.12)^{0.5}$ $= 207,095 \, lb/h$

As $P_s = 200$ psia, and there are no critical conditions, then the correction C2 will apply:

 $C2 = 0.82 \times 25.12^{0.04} = 0.933$

The corrected flowrate will be: $W = 207,095 \times 0.933 =$ 193.190 lb/h. This value is less than the 200,000 lb/h required. Repeat steps 3 through 6 with D = 5 in.:

- 3) $K_{\mu} = 894 \times 5^4/101.53^2 = 54.2$
- 4) For D = 5 in. and turbulent flow is f = 0.0152 and K_{2F} is: $K_{2F} = 1 + 0.0152 \times 10 \times 12/5 + 0.0152 \times 3 \times 30 = 2.73$
- 5) K = 54.2 + 2.73 = 56.93. From **FIG. 3**, $P_{CR} = 55$ psia and $P_{R} = 100 \text{ psia.}$ Now, $P_F = P_R = 100$ psia and $\Delta P = 100$ psi.
- 6) $\Delta P/P_s = 0.5$ and K = 56.93. From FIG. 1, $C_F = 0.615$ and $W = 280 \times 0.615 \times 5^2 \times \ln 200(100/0.01839 \times$ 56.93)^{0.5} = 222,920 lb/hr. Correcting with $C2 = 0.82 \times 56.93^{0.04} = 0.964$ is:

 $W = 222,920 \times 0.964 = 214,870 \text{ lb/h} > 200,000 \text{ lb/hr}.$

A 4-in. standard globe valve and a 5-in. pipe for the assumed layout of run 2-F is the right design to pass the maximum flowrate of 200,000 lb/hr of saturated water. HP

NOMENCLATURE/LITERATURE CITED

Nomenclature and literature cited available at HydrocarbonProcessing.com.

EMILIO CASADO FLORES is mechanical and safety head engineer for the design with EEAA in Madrid, Spain. From 2007 to 2010, Mr. Casado Flores was the engineering manager at the Almaraz power upgrading project, PWR Spanish nuclear power plant. His work experience includes mechanical, thermal and hydraulic engineering design and operation in petroleum refineries and nuclear power plants.

eni

award

ideas for a brighter future

2013

eni award is the prize granted to the world's most outstanding researchers in the field of energy efficiency. Only the most brilliant ideas can give rise to a new energy. The award ceremony was held at Palazzo Quirinale in Rome, in the presence of the President of the Republic.





