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## Introduction

Introduced in Aspen HYSYS® V8.3, the Safety Analysis Environment provides a tool for adding pressure relief devices and calculating relief loads inside Aspen HYSYS. Leveraging this tool within the rigorous Aspen HYSYS simulator, and in combination with Aspen Flare System Analyzer, provides an integrated solution for pressure relief analysis (PRA) work.

This paper contains hand calculations for the relief loads inside the Safety Analysis Environment. This paper shows examples and equations for emergency scenarios, including control valve failure, heat exchanger tube rupture, hydraulic expansion, and fire, which will help you to validate the calculations of this tool located within Aspen HYSYS.

## Control Valve Failure

For a control valve failure scenario, the required relief load is the maximum flow through the control valve at full open. API Standard 521 allows that credit for normal minimum flow may be taken under certain circumstances. ${ }^{1}$

## PSV Plus Vapor Equations

The critical pressure drop for gas or vapor flow across a control valve is defined as below, where $P_{1}$ is the upstream pressure in psia, $\Delta P^{*}$ is the critical limit in psi, and $C_{f}$ is a characteristic parameter of the control valve.

Eq. $1 \quad \Delta P^{*}=0.5 C_{f}{ }^{2} P_{1}$
If the pressure drop across the control valve exceeds the critical limit, then the mass flow rate through the valve is given by Equation 2 below.

Eq. $2 \quad w=2.8 C_{f} P_{1} C_{v} \sqrt{S G / Z}$
Otherwise, the mass flow rate through the valve is given by Equation 3 below, where $P_{r}$ is the downstream (relieving) pressure in psia, $S G$ is the specific gravity relative to air at upstream conditions, $Z$ is the compressibility of the stream at upstream conditions, and $C_{V}$ is a characteristic parameter of the control valve.

Eq. 3

$$
w=3.22 C_{v} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta P^{*}\left(P_{r}+P_{1}\right) S G}{Z}}
$$

The specific gravity may be calculated as below, where $M$ is the molecular weight and $T_{r}$ is the upstream temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

Eq. 4

$$
S G=\frac{M}{29} \times \frac{520}{T_{r}+460}
$$
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## PSV Plus Vapor Example with Unchoked Flow

The example is based on the following conditions:

| Composition | $40 \%$ isobutane, 45\% isopentane, 15\% n-hexane <br> using the Aspen HYSYS SRK package for <br> physical properties |
| :--- | :--- |
| Upstream conditions | 320 psia $/ 320 \mathrm{~F}$ |
| Normal flowrate | $9,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Relief pressure | 260 psig set pressure $+10 \%$ allowable <br> overpressure $=286$ psig |
| Control valve | $C_{V}=20.0, C_{f}=F_{l}=0.75$ |



Figure 1: Subcritical vapor control valve case calculated in Aspen HYSYS using PSV Plus equations

Setting up a stream in Aspen HYSYS at the upstream conditions will yield the following properties:
$M=68.64$
$Z=0.68$

The critical pressure drop may be calculated using (Eq. 1):

$$
\Delta P^{*}=0.5(0.75)^{2} 320=90 \mathrm{psi}
$$

The pressure drop across the valve at relieving conditions is only 19.3 psi, so the flow is subcritical.

The specific gravity may be calculated using (Eq. 4):

$$
S G=\frac{68.64}{29} \times \frac{520}{320+460}=1.578
$$

The control valve capacity at relief conditions is calculated using (Eq. 3):

$$
w=3.22(20) \sqrt{\frac{19.3(286+14.7+320) 1.578}{0.68}}=10737 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}
$$

Subtracting the normal flowrate of $9,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}$ gives a required relief load of $1,737 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}$.

The results calculated above are compared to results obtained in Aspen HYSYS in Table 1.

| Variable | Units | Example Calculation | Aspen HYSYS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Inlet Pressure $\left(P_{1}\right)$ |  | 320 psia | 305.3 psig |
| Normal Flow to Process | $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{h}$ | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| Control Valve CV $\left(C_{V}\right)$ |  | 20 | 20.00 |
| Critical Flow Factor $\left(C_{f}\right)$ |  | 0.75 | 0.7500 |
| Molecular Weight $(M)$ | $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{lbmol}$ | 68.64 |  |
| Compressibility $(Z)$ |  | 0.68 |  |
| Specific Gravity $(S G)$ |  | 1.578 |  |
| Critical Pressure Drop $\left(\Delta P^{*}\right)$ | psi | 90.0 |  |
| Flow type |  | Subcritical | Subcritical |
| Full-open Flow $(w)$ | $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{h}$ | 10,737 |  |
| Required Relieving Flow | $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{h}$ | 1,737 | 1,741 |
|  | Blue $=$ Calculation input | Gray = Calculated value |  |

Table 1: Comparison of example calculation and Aspen HYSYS calculation for control valve failure with subcritical vapor flow

## PSV Plus Vapor Example with Choked Flow

The example is based on the following conditions:

| Composition | $40 \%$ isobutane, 45\% isopentane, 15\% n-hexane <br> using the Aspen HYSYS SRK package for <br> physical properties |
| :--- | :--- |
| Upstream conditions | 420 psia / 355 F |
| Normal flowrate | $3,300 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Relief pressure | 260 psig set pressure $+10 \%$ allowable <br> overpressure $=286$ psig |
| Control valve | $C_{V}=5.5, C_{f}=F_{l}=0.75$ |

Setting up a stream in Aspen HYSYS at the upstream conditions will yield the following properties:
$M=68.64$
$Z=0.624$


Figure 2: Critical vapor control valve case calculated in Aspen HYSYS using PSV Plus equations

The critical pressure drop may be calculated using (Eq. 1):

$$
\Delta P^{*}=0.5(0.75)^{2} 420=118.1 \mathrm{psi}
$$

The pressure drop across the valve at relieving conditions is only 119.3 psi, so the flow is critical.

The specific gravity may be calculated using (Eq. 4):

$$
S G=\frac{68.64}{29} \times \frac{520}{355+460}=1.51
$$

The control valve capacity at relief conditions is calculated using (Eq. 2):

$$
w=2.8(0.75)(420)(5.5) \sqrt{1.51 / 0.624}=7,546 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}
$$

Subtracting the normal flowrate of $3,300 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}$ gives a required relief load of $4,246 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}$.

The results calculated above are compared to results obtained in Aspen HYSYS in Table 2.

| Variable | Units | Example Calculation | Aspen HYSYS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Inlet Pressure $\left(P_{1}\right)$ |  | 420 psia | 405.3 psig |
| Normal Flow to Process | $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{h}$ | 3,300 | 3,300 |
| Control Valve CV $\left(C_{V}\right)$ |  | 5.5 | 5.500 |
| Critical Flow Factor $\left(C_{f}\right)$ |  | 0.75 | 0.7500 |
| Molecular Weight $(M)$ | $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{lbmol}$ | 68.64 |  |
| Compressibility $(Z)$ |  | 0.624 |  |
| Specific Gravity $(S G)$ |  | 1.51 |  |
| Critical Pressure Drop $\left(\Delta P^{*}\right)$ | psi | 118.1 | Critical |
| Flow type |  | Critical |  |
| Full-open Flow $(W)$ | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}$ | 7,546 | 4,242 |
| Required Relieving Flow | $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{h}$ | 4,246 |  |
|  | Blue $=$ Calculation input | Gray = Calculated value |  |

Table 2: Comparison of example calculation and Aspen HYSYS calculation for control valve failure with critical vapor flow using PSV Plus equations

## PSV Plus Liquid Equations

The critical pressure drop for liquid flow across a control valve is defined as below, where $F_{F}$ is a calculated critical flow parameter, $P_{V}$ is the vapor pressure/bubble point pressure of the liquid in psia, and $P_{c}$ is the critical pressure of the liquid in psia.

Eq. 5

$$
F_{F}=0.96-0.28 \sqrt{\frac{P_{v}}{P_{c}}}
$$

Eq. $6 \Delta P^{*}=C_{f}{ }^{2}\left(P_{1}-F_{F} P_{v}\right)$

The volumetric capacity of the control valve may be calculated as shown below, where $\Delta P_{\text {min }}$ is actual $\Delta P$ or $\Delta P^{*}$, whichever is smaller of the actual pressure drop across the valve and the critical pressure drop across the valve, $S G$ is the specific gravity of the fluid at upstream conditions relative to water at $60 \mathrm{~F}(15.6 \mathrm{C})$, and $Q$ is the capacity of the control valve in gpm.
Eq. $7 \quad Q=C_{v} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta P_{\min }}{S G}}$

The required relief load in gpm may be converted to lb/h, as shown below:
Eq. $8 \quad w=Q \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~min}}{1 \mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{ft}^{3}}{7.4805 \mathrm{gal}} \times \rho$

## PSV Plus Liquid Example with Unchoked Flow

The example is based on the following conditions:

| Composition | $15 \%$ propane, $30 \%$ isobutane, $30 \%$ n-butane, <br> $25 \%$ isopentane using the Aspen HYSYS PR <br> package for physical properties |
| :--- | :--- |
| Upstream conditions | 275 psia / 195 F |
| No credit taken for normal flowrate across the valve |  |
| Relief pressure | 190 psig set pressure + 10\% allowable <br> overpressure $=209$ psig |
| Control valve | $C_{V}=8, C_{f}=F_{l}=0.75$ |

Setting up a stream in Aspen HYSYS at the upstream conditions will yield the following properties:
$P_{c}=562.6$ psia
$P_{V}=216.1 \mathrm{psia}$
$\rho=29.95 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ hence $S G=29.95 / 62.3=0.4807$

The critical pressure drop is calculated from (Eq. 5) and (Eq. 6):

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{F}=0.96-0.28 \sqrt{\frac{216.1}{562.6}}=0.7865 \\
\Delta P^{*}=0.75^{2}(275-0.7865 \times 216.1)=59 \mathrm{psi}
\end{gathered}
$$

The pressure drop at relief conditions is 51.3 psi, which is less than the critical limit; therefore, the flow is unchoked. The required relief load is calculated from (Eq. 7) and (Eq. 8):

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q=8 \sqrt{\frac{51.3}{0.4807}}=82.6 \mathrm{gpm} \\
w=82.6 \mathrm{gpm} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~min}}{1 \mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{ft}^{3}}{7.4805 \mathrm{gal}} \times 29.95 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}=19,840 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure 3: Unchoked liquid control valve case calculated in Aspen HYSYS using PSV Plus equations

The results calculated above are compared to results obtained in Aspen HYSYS in Table 3.

| Variable | Units | Example Calculation | Aspen HYSYS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Inlet Pressure $\left(P_{1}\right)$ |  | 275 psia | 260.3 psig |  |
| Normal Flow to Process | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}$ | 0 | 0.0000 |  |
| Control Valve CV $\left(C_{V}\right)$ |  | 8 | 8.000 |  |
| Critical Flow Factor $\left(C_{f}\right)$ |  | 0.75 | 0.7500 |  |
| Specific Gravity $(\mathrm{SG})$ |  | 0.4807 |  |  |
| Liquid Critical Pressure $\left(P_{C}\right)$ |  | 562.2 psia |  |  |
| Liquid Vapor Pressure $\left(P_{V}\right)$ |  | 216.1 psia |  |  |
| Critical Pressure Drop $\left(\Delta P^{*}\right)$ | psi | 59 |  |  |
| Flow type |  | Unchoked | Subcritical |  |
| Required Relieving Flow | Ib/h | 19,840 | 19,870 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3: Comparison of example calculation and Aspen HYSYS calculation for control valve failure with subcritical liquid flow using PSV Plus equations

## PSV Plus Liquid Example with Choked Flow

The example is based on the following conditions:

| Composition | $15 \%$ propane, 25\% n-butane, 30\% n-pentane, <br> $30 \%$ n-heptane using the Aspen HYSYS PR <br> package for physical properties |
| :--- | :--- |
| Upstream conditions | 275 psia / 265 F |
| No credit taken for normal flowrate across the valve |  |
| Relief pressure | 105 psig set pressure + 10\% allowable <br> overpressure $=115.5$ psig |
| Control valve | $C_{V}=120, C_{f}=F_{l}=0.75$ |

Setting up a stream in Aspen HYSYS at the upstream conditions will yield the following properties:
$P_{C}=583.5 \mathrm{psia}$
$P_{V}=247.1 \mathrm{psia}$
$\rho=30.85 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ hence $S G=30.85 / 62.3=0.495$
The critical pressure drop is calculated from (Eq. 5) and (Eq. 6):

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{F}=0.96-0.28 \sqrt{\frac{247.1}{583.5}}=0.778 \\
\Delta P^{*}=0.75^{2}(275-0.778 \times 247.1)=46.6 \mathrm{psi}
\end{gathered}
$$

Figure 4: Choked liquid control valve case calculated in Aspen HYSYS using PSV Plus equations


The pressure drop at relief conditions is 144.8 psi, which is greater than the critical limit, therefore the flow is choked. The required relief load is calculated from (Eq. 7) and (Eq. 8):

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q=120 \sqrt{\frac{46.6}{0.495}}=1164 \mathrm{gpm} \\
w=1164 \mathrm{gpm} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~min}}{1 \mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{ft}^{3}}{7.4805 \mathrm{gal}} \times 30.85 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}=288,100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}
\end{gathered}
$$

The results calculated above are compared to results obtained in Aspen HYSYS in Table 4.

| Variable | Units | Example Calculation | Aspen HYSYS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Inlet Pressure $\left(P_{1}\right)$ |  | 275 psia | 260.3 psig |  |
| Normal Flow to Process | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}$ | 0 | 0.0000 |  |
| Control Valve CV $\left(C_{V}\right)$ |  | 120 | 120.0 |  |
| Critical Flow Factor $\left(C_{f}\right)$ |  | 0.75 | 0.7500 |  |
| Specific Gravity $(\mathrm{SG})$ |  | 0.495 |  |  |
| Liquid Critical Pressure $\left(P_{C}\right)$ |  | 583.5 psia |  |  |
| Liquid Vapor Pressure $\left(P_{V}\right)$ |  | 247.1 psia |  |  |
| Critical Pressure Drop $\left(\Delta P^{*}\right)$ | psi | 46.6 |  |  |
| Flow type |  | Choked | Critical |  |
| Required Relieving Flow | Ib/h | 288,100 | 288,400 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4: Comparison of example calculation and Aspen HYSYS calculation for control valve failure with critical liquid flow using PSV Plus equations

## Heat Exchanger Tube Break

For a heat exchanger tube break scenario, API Standard 521 states that the calculation should be based on a sharp break in one tube, at the back of the tube sheet, with high pressure fluid assumed to flow both through the stub in the tube sheet and through the long section of tube. A calculation basis of flow through two orifices is allowed as a simplifying assumption, because the resulting relief load is larger than would be calculated based on flow through a long tube. ${ }^{1}$

The tube rupture calculation in the Safety Analysis Environment uses a two-orifice calculation as described in the literature. ${ }^{23}$

## Vapor Equations

As with control valves, vapor flow through a tube rupture is subject to a critical flow limit. The downstream critical limit pressure may be calculated as below, where $P_{\text {cfr }}$ is the critical limit pressure in psia, $P_{1}$ is the high-pressure-side pressure in psia, and $k$ is the ideal gas specific heat ratio $C_{P} /\left(C_{P}-R\right)$ at relief conditions.

Eq. 9

$$
P_{c f r}=P_{1}\left[\frac{2}{k+1}^{k / k-1}\right]
$$

The flow through the rupture is given by the calculation below, where $w$ is the required relief load in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}, C$ is the orifice coefficient, $A$ is the total rupture area in $\mathrm{in}^{2}, \Delta P$ is the pressure difference between the $P_{1}$ and the greater of the downstream relief pressure or $P_{c f r}$, and $\rho$ is the vapor density at upstream conditions in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$.

Eq. $10 \quad w=2407.7 C A Y \sqrt{\Delta P \cdot \rho}$

For flow from the tube side into the shell side, the orifice coefficient used is typically 0.74 (so the product with the leading coefficient is 1,781.7), and the expansion coefficient $Y$ may be calculated, as shown in Equation 11 below.

Eq. $11 \quad Y=1-0.4 \frac{\Delta P}{P_{1}}$
For flow from the shell side into the tube side, the orifice coefficient used is typically 0.6 (so the product with the leading coefficient is $1,444.6$ ), and the expansion coefficient may be calculated as shown below.

Eq. $12 \quad Y=1-0.317 \frac{\Delta P}{P_{1}}$

Vapor Example with Unchoked Shell-Into-Tube Flow
The example is based on the following conditions:

| Composition | $30 \%$ propane, $70 \%$ n-butane using the Aspen <br> HYSYS SRK package for physical properties |
| :--- | :--- |
| Upstream conditions | $110 \mathrm{psia} / 300 \mathrm{~F}$ |
| Normal flowrate | $9,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Relief pressure | 60 psig set pressure $+10 \%$ allowable <br> overpressure $=66$ psig |
| Tubes are 14 ga $7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ tube with an inner diameter of 0.709 in |  |

Setting up a stream in Aspen HYSYS at the high-pressure side conditions will yield the following properties:
$\rho=0.7756 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$
Flashing to relief conditions will yield the following properties:
$k=1.073$


Figure 5: Subcritical vapor exchanger tube rupture case calculated in Aspen HYSYS

The critical flow pressure is calculated using (Eq. 9):

$$
P_{c f r}=110 \text { psia }\left[\frac{2}{(1.073)+1}^{(1.073) /(1.073)-1}\right]=64.9 \mathrm{psia}
$$

Since the critical pressure of 64.9 psia is less than the low-pressure side relief pressure of 80.7 psia, flow is not choked and the pressure drops across the break $\Delta P=29.3$ psi.

The required relief load may be calculated using (Eq. 10) and (Eq. 12):

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=2 \frac{\pi}{4}(0.709 \mathrm{in})^{2}=0.7896 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
Y=1-0.317 \frac{29.3}{110}=0.9156 \\
w=1444.6(0.7896)(0.9156) \sqrt{(29.3)(0.7756)}=4,979 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}
\end{gathered}
$$

The results calculated above are compared to results obtained in Aspen HYSYS in Table 5.

| Variable | Units | Example Calculation | Aspen HYSYS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| High Side Pressure $\left(P_{1}\right)$ |  | 110 psia | 95.3 psig |  |
| High Side Temperature | F | 300 | 300.0 |  |
| Tube Inside Diameter | in | 0.709 | 0.7090 |  |
| $C_{P} /\left(C_{P}-R\right)(k)$ |  | 1.073 | 1.073 |  |
| Mass Density $(\rho)$ | $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | 0.7756 | 0.7756 |  |
| Critical Pressure $\left(P_{c f r}\right)$ |  | 64.9 psia | 50.25 psig |  |
| Flow Type |  | Subcritical | Subcritical |  |
| Expansion Factor $(Y)$ | 0.9156 |  |  |  |
| Required Relieving Flow | $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{h}$ | 4,979 | 4,976 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5: Comparison of example calculation and Aspen HYSYS calculation for exchanger tube rupture with subcritical vapor flow

Vapor Example with Choked Tube-Into-Shell Flow
The example is based on the following conditions:

| Composition | $30 \%$ propane, $70 \%$ n-butane using the Aspen <br> HYSYS SRK package for physical properties |
| :--- | :--- |
| Upstream conditions | 275 psia / 250 F |
| Relief pressure | 60 psig set pressure $+10 \%$ allowable <br> overpressure $=66$ psig |
| Tubes are 20 ga $1 \frac{1}{4 \prime \prime}$ tube with an inner diameter of 1.18 in |  |

Setting up a stream in Aspen HYSYS at the high-pressure side conditions will yield the following properties:
$\rho=2.493 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$
Flashing to relief conditions will yield the following properties:
$k=1.079$


Figure 6: Critical vapor exchanger tube rupture case calculated in Aspen HYSYS

The critical flow pressure is calculated using (Eq. 9):

$$
P_{c f r}=275 \mathrm{psia}\left[\frac{2}{(1.079)+1}^{(1.079)} /(1.079)-1\right]=162.0 \mathrm{psia}
$$

Since the critical pressure of 162.0 psia is greater than the low-pressure side relief pressure of 80.7 psia, flow is choked and the pressure drops across the break $\Delta P=113.0$ psi.

The required relief load may be calculated using (Eq. 10) and (Eq. 11):

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=2 \frac{\pi}{4}(1.18 \mathrm{in})^{2}=2.187 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
Y=1-0.4 \frac{113.0}{275}=0.8356 \\
w=1781.7(2.187)(0.8356) \sqrt{(113.0)(2.493)}=54,650 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}
\end{gathered}
$$

The results calculated above are compared to results obtained in Aspen HYSYS in Table 6.

| Variable | Units | Example Calculation | Aspen HYSYS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| High Side Pressure $\left(P_{1}\right)$ |  | 375 psia | 360.3 psig |  |
| High Side Temperature | F | 250 | 250.0 |  |
| Tube Inside Diameter | in | 1.18 | 1.180 |  |
| $C_{P} /\left(C_{P}-R\right)(k)$ |  | 1.079 | 1.079 |  |
| Mass Density $(\rho)$ | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | 2.493 | 2.493 |  |
| Critical Pressure $\left(P_{c f r}\right)$ |  | 162.0 psia | 147.3 psig |  |
| Flow Type | Critical | Critical |  |  |
| Expansion Factor $(Y)$ | 0.8356 |  |  |  |
| Required Relieving Flow | $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{h}$ | 54,650 | 54,630 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6: Comparison of example calculation and Aspen HYSYS calculation for exchanger tube rupture with critical vapor flow

Liquid Equations
Liquid flow is not checked for choking. The flow through the rupture is given by Equation 13 below.

Eq. $13 \quad w=2407.7 C A \sqrt{\Delta P \cdot \rho}$

As with vapor cases, for a tube-into-shell break, a value of 0.74 is typically used for the orifice coefficient, giving a combined leading coefficient of 1781.7. For a shell-into-tube break, a value of 0.6 is typically used for the orifice coefficient, giving a combined leading coefficient of 1444.6.

## Liquid Example with Tube-Into-Shell Flow

The example is based on the following conditions:

| Composition | $35 \%$ n-heptane, 35\% n-decane, 30\% n-C13 <br> using the Aspen HYSYS SRK package for <br> physical properties |
| :--- | :--- |
| High-pressure side conditions | 740 psia / 120 F |
| Relief pressure | 400 psig set pressure $+10 \%$ allowable <br> overpressure $=440$ psig |
| Tubes have an inner diameter of 1.375 in |  |

Setting up a stream in Aspen HYSYS at the relief conditions will yield the following properties: $\rho=44.13 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$


Figure 7: Liquid exchanger tube rupture case calculated in Aspen HYSYS

The required relief load may be calculated using (Eq. 13):

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=2 \frac{\pi}{4}(1.375 \mathrm{in})^{2}=2.97 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
w=1781.7(2.97) \sqrt{(740-455.3)(44.13)}=593,132 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}
\end{gathered}
$$

The results calculated above are compared to results obtained in Aspen HYSYS in Table 7.

| Variable | Units | Example Calculation | Aspen HYSYS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High Side Pressure $\left(P_{1}\right)$ |  | 740 psia | 725.3 psig |
| Tube Inside Diameter | in | 1.375 | 1.375 |
| Mass Density $(\rho)$ | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | 44.13 | 44.13 |
| Required Relieving Flow | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}$ | 593,132 | 593,400 |
| Blue $=$ Calculation input |  |  |  |
| Gray $=$ Calculated value |  |  |  |

Table 7: Comparison of example calculation and Aspen HYSYS calculation for exchanger tube rupture with liquid flow

## Mixed Two-Phase Flow Equations

For two-phase flashing flow, the calculation is performed based on a division of the total rupture area in order to obtain a ratio of mass flows that is equal to the mass fraction vapor of the high-pressureside stream flashed isenthalpically to the low-pressure-side relief pressure. The choke condition is obtained for the vapor and applies to both phases. The downstream critical limit pressure may be calculated using (Eq. 9):

$$
P_{c f r}=P_{1}\left[\frac{2}{k+1}^{k / k-1}\right]
$$

Here, $k$ is taken at the low-pressure-side relief conditions.

Once the critical limit pressure is obtained, the vapor and liquid properties for calculating the required relief load are determined at the greater of the critical limit pressure and the low-pressureside relief pressure (high-pressure-side conditions may be used if a vapor phase exists).

The fraction of the total flow area that is assigned to the vapor phase may be computed as shown below, where $C$ is the orifice coefficient, typically 0.6 for shell-into-tube flow or 0.74 for tube-intoshell flow, $Y$ is the vapor expansion coefficient computed using (Eq. 11) or (Eq. 12) as appropriate, $\Delta P$ is the pressure drop across the tube break subject to the downstream critical limit, $\rho$ values are the respective phase densities, and $x$ is the vapor mass fraction at the low-pressure-side pressure subject to the downstream critical limit.

Eq. $14 \quad N_{v}=2404.7 C Y \sqrt{\Delta P \cdot \rho_{v}}$

Eq. $15 \quad N_{\ell}=2404.7 C \sqrt{\Delta P \cdot \rho_{\ell}}$
Eq. $16 \quad f_{v}=\frac{x N_{\ell}}{(1-x) N_{v}+x N_{\ell}}$

Then, the required relief load is calculated as the sum of the vapor and liquid flows, as shown below.

Eq. $17 w_{v}=f_{v} A N_{v}$

Eq. $18 w_{\ell}=\left(1-f_{v}\right) A N_{\ell}$

Eq. $19 \quad w=w_{v}+w_{\ell}$


Figure 8: Mixed-phase, subcritical exchanger tube rupture case calculated in Aspen HYSYS


Figure 9: Mixed-phase, critical exchanger tube rupture case calculated in Aspen HYSYS

## Mixed-Phase Example with Unchoked Tube-Into-Shell Flow

The example is based on the following conditions:

| Composition | $35 \%$ propane, $40 \%$ n-heptane, 25\% CC6= <br> using the Aspen HYSYS SRK package for <br> physical properties |
| :--- | :--- |
| High-pressure side conditions | 470 psia / 360 F |
| Relief pressure | 250 psig set pressure $+10 \%$ allowable <br> overpressure $=275$ psig |
| Tubes are 20 ga 11/4" tube with an inner diameter of 1.18 in |  |

Setting up a stream in Aspen HYSYS at the high-pressure side conditions and performing an isenthalpic flash to relief pressure will yield the following properties:
$T=333.8 \mathrm{~F}$
$k=1.059$

The critical flow pressure is calculated using (Eq. 9):

$$
P_{c f r}=470 \text { psia }\left[\frac{2}{(1.059)+1}^{(1.059)} /(1.059)-1\right]=278.9 \mathrm{psia}
$$

Since the critical pressure of 278.9 psia is less than the low-pressure side relief pressure of 289.7 psia, flow is not choked and the pressure drop across the break $\Delta P=180.3$ psi. Liquid and vapor properties may be obtained in Aspen HYSYS at the high side pressure using the previously-flashed stream, yielding:
$\rho_{l}=28.74 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$
$\rho_{V}=4.529 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$

The vapor fraction at relief conditions is:
$x=0.2588$

The required relief load may be calculated using (Eq. 12) and (Eq. 14) through (Eq. 19):

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=2 \frac{\pi}{4}(1.18 \mathrm{in})^{2}=2.187 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
Y=1-0.4 \frac{180.3}{470}=0.8466 \\
N_{v}=1781.7(0.8466) \sqrt{(180.3)(4.529)}=43103 \\
N_{\ell}=1781.7 \sqrt{(180.3)(28.74)}=128255 \\
f_{v}=\frac{(0.2588)(128255)}{(1-0.2588)(43103)+(0.2588)(128255)}=0.5096 \\
w_{v}=(0.5096)(2.187)(43103)=48,040 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h} \\
w_{\ell}=(1-0.5096)(2.187)(128255)=137,600 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h} \\
w=w_{v}+w_{\ell}=185,600 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}
\end{gathered}
$$

The results calculated above are compared to results obtained in Aspen HYSYS in Table 8.

| Variable | Units | Example Calculation | Aspen HYSYS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| High Side Pressure $\left(P_{1}\right)$ |  | 470 psia | 455.3 psig |  |
| High Side Temperature | F | 360 | 360.0 |  |
| Tube Inside Diameter | in | 1.18 | 1.180 |  |
| $C_{P} /\left(C_{P}-R\right)(\mathrm{k})$ |  | 1.059 | 1.059 |  |
| Vapor Mass Density $\left(\rho_{V}\right)$ | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | 4.529 | 4.529 |  |
| Liquid Mass Density $\left(\rho_{I}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | 28.74 | 28.74 |  |
| Critical Pressure $\left(P_{c f r}\right)$ |  | 278.9 psia | 264.3 psig |  |
| Flow Type |  | Subcritical |  |  |
| Expansion Factor $(Y)$ | 0.8466 |  |  |  |
| Mass Fraction Vapor $(x)$ |  | 0.2588 | 0.2588 |  |
| Required Relieving Flow | $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{h}$ | 185,600 | 185,700 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8: Comparison of example calculation and Aspen HYSYS calculation for exchanger tube rupture with subcritical mixed phase flow

## Mixed-Phase Example with Choked Shell-Into-Tube Flow

The example is based on the following conditions:

| Composition | $35 \%$ propane, 40\% n-heptane, 25\% CC6= <br> using the Aspen HYSYS SRK package for <br> physical properties |
| :--- | :--- |
| High-pressure side conditions | 470 psia / 360 F |
| Relief pressure | 150 psig set pressure $+10 \%$ allowable <br> overpressure $=165$ psig |
| Tubes are 20 ga 11/4" tube with an inner diameter of 1.18 in |  |

Setting up a stream in Aspen HYSYS at high-pressure side conditions and performing an isenthalpic flash to relief pressure will yield the following properties:
$k=1.061$
The critical flow pressure is calculated using (Eq. 9):

$$
P_{c f r}=470 \text { psia }\left[\frac{2}{(1.061)+1}^{(1.061)} /(1.061)-1\right]=278.7 \mathrm{psia}
$$

Since the critical pressure of 278.7 psia exceeds the low-pressure side relief pressure of 179.7 psia, flow is choked and the pressure drop across the break $\Delta P=191.3$ psi. Liquid and vapor properties may be obtained in Aspen HYSYS at the high side pressure using the previously-flashed stream, yielding:
$\rho_{\ell}=28.74 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$
$\rho_{V}=4.529 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$

The vapor fraction at the choke condition is:
$x=0.2718$

The required relief load may be calculated using (Eq. 11) and (Eq. 14) through (Eq. 19):

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=2 \frac{\pi}{4}(1.18 \mathrm{in})^{2}=2.187 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
Y=1-0.317 \frac{191.3}{470}=0.8710 \\
N_{v}=1444.6(0.8710) \sqrt{(191.3)(4.529)}=37036 \\
N_{\ell}=1444.6 \sqrt{(191.3)(28.74)}=107114 \\
f_{v}=\frac{(0.2718)(107114)}{(1-0.2718)(37036)+(0.2718)(107114)}=0.5191 \\
w_{v}=(0.5191)(2.187)(37036)=42,050 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h} \\
w_{\ell}=(1-0.5191)(2.187)(107114)=112,700 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h} \\
w=w_{v}+w_{\ell}=154,800 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{h}
\end{gathered}
$$

The results calculated above are compared to results obtained in Aspen HYSYS in Table 9.

| Variable | Units | Example Calculation | Aspen HYSYS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| High Side Pressure $\left(P_{1}\right)$ |  | 470 psia | 455.3 psig |  |
| High Side Temperature | F | 360 | 360.0 |  |
| Tube Inside Diameter | in | 1.18 | 1.18 |  |
| $C_{P} /\left(C_{P}-R\right)(k)$ |  | 1.061 | 1.061 |  |
| Vapor Mass Density $\left(\rho_{V}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | 4.529 | 4.529 |  |
| Liquid Mass Density $\left(\rho_{l}\right)$ | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | 28.74 | 28.74 |  |
| Critical Pressure $\left(P_{c f r}\right)$ |  | 278.7 psia | 264.0 psig |  |
| Flow Type |  | Critical | Choked Flow |  |
| Expansion Factor $(Y)$ | 0.8710 |  |  |  |
| Mass Fraction Vapor $(x)$ |  | 0.2718 | 0.2717 |  |
| Required Relieving Flow | $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{h}$ | 154,800 | 154,800 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9: Comparison of example calculation and Aspen HYSYS calculation for exchanger tube rupture with critical mixed phase flow

## Hydraulic Expansion

## Equations

For a scenario where heat input causes hydraulic expansion in blocked-in, liquid-full equipment or process piping, API Standard 521 gives two equations for calculating the required relief load, one for U.S. customary units and one for SI units. ${ }^{1}$ These equations may be combined and written as shown below, where $q$ is the volumetric required relief load in $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ or $\mathrm{gpm}, N$ is a dimensional constant with a value of 1000 for SI units or 500 for U.S. customary units, $\alpha_{V}$ is the cubic expansion coefficient in $1 / \mathrm{K}$ or $1 / \mathrm{R}, \varphi$ is the total heat transfer rate in W or $\mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{h}, S G$ is the specific gravity of the fluid referenced to water at 60 F or 15.6 C (a reference density of $998.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ or $62.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ), and $C_{P}$ is the fluid heat capacity in J/kg-K or BTU/lb-R.

Eq. $20 \quad q=\frac{\alpha_{V} \phi}{N S G C_{P}}$

## Example

The example is based on the following conditions:

| $f=500,000 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{h}=2,093,400 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h}=581.5 \mathrm{~kW}$ |
| :--- |
| $a V=0.00851 / \mathrm{K}$ |
| $S G=0.63$ |
| $C P=0.591 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{K}=2.474 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{K}$ |



Figure 10: Hydraulic expansion case calculated in Aspen HYSYS

The required relief load is calculated using (Eq. 20), and converted to a mass flow rate:

$$
\begin{gathered}
q=\frac{(0.0085)(581,500)}{1000(0.63)(2,474)}=0.00317 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s} \\
w=0.00317 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s} \times \frac{3600 \mathrm{~s}}{1 \mathrm{~h}} \times(0.63)\left(998.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)=7182 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}
\end{gathered}
$$

The results calculated above are compared to results obtained in Aspen HYSYS in Table 10.

| Variable | Units | Example Calculation | Aspen HYSYS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Expansion Coefficient $\left(\alpha_{V}\right)$ | $1 / \mathrm{K}$ | 0.0085 | 0.0085 |
| Heat Input Rate $(\varphi)$ |  | $581,500 \mathrm{~W}$ | $500,000 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Specific Gravity $(S G)$ |  | 0.63 | 0.6299 |
| Mass Heat Capacity $\left(C_{P}\right)$ |  | $2,474 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{K}$ | $0.5910 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{K}$ |
| Required Relieving Flow | $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{h}$ | 7,182 | 7,198 |
| Blue $=$ Calculation input Gray $=$ Calculated value |  |  |  |

Table 10: Comparison of example calculation and Aspen HYSYS calculation for hydraulic expansion

## Wetted Fire Equations

The required relief load due to vaporization of liquid inventory is calculated using equations obtained from API Standard 521.

The required relief load is calculated using the following equations shown below, where $Q$ is the rate at which heat is added to the vessel contents in $\mathrm{W} ; C_{D F}$ is a constant to account for the presence or absence of adequate draining and firefighting, with a value of 43,200 when adequate drainage and firefighting are present or 70,900 when they are not; $F$ is an environment factor to account for the presence of fireproof insulation, with a value of 1.0 for a vessel without fireproof insulation; $A_{w s}$ is the exposed wetted surface area of the vessel, subject to certain conditions, in $\mathrm{m}^{2}$.

Eq. $21 \quad Q=C_{D F} F A_{w s}{ }^{0.82}$

Per the standard, for horizontal and vertical vessels, only the portion of the liquid inventory within 7.6 m of grade should be considered. For spherical vessels, the portion of the liquid inventory within 7.6 m of grade or up to the maximum horizontal diameter, whichever is greater, should be considered, where $w$ is the required relief load in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{h}$ and $l$ is the latent heat of the vessel contents at appropriate relieving conditions in $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{kg}$.

Eq. $22 \quad w=3.6 Q / \lambda$

## Qaspentech



Figure 11: External fire case with a wetted vertical vessel calculated in Aspen HYSYS

## Wetted Fire Example with a Vertical Vessel

The example is based on the following conditions:

| Composition | $50 \%$ propane, $50 \%$ isobutane using the Aspen <br> HYSYS PR package for physical properties |
| :--- | :--- |
| Normal operating conditions | $1000 \mathrm{kPaa}, 85 \%$ vapor |
| Relief pressure | 12 barg set pressure + 21\% allowable overpressure <br> $=14.52$ barg |
| Vessel | Vertical with exposed bottom head, 3.5 m <br> diameter, 8 m T/T height, with $2: 1$ ellipsoidal <br> heads; 0 m above grade; normal liquid level is 3 m |
| Additional fire area | $10 \%$ to allow for process piping |
| Insulation | No fireproof insulation is present |
| Drainage and firefighting | Adequate drainage and firefighting are present |
| Latent heat | The latent heat of the liquid at relieving conditions <br> is estimated to be $280.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg}$ |

No correction needs to be made to the portion of liquid inventory that is considered, as the normal liquid level is less than 7.6 m above grade. The wetted surface area is computed as shown below.

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{\text {shell }}=\pi D L L=32.99 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
A_{\text {head }}=1.084 D^{2}=13.28 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
A_{w s}=1.10 \times\left(A_{\text {shell }}+A_{\text {head }}\right)=50.9 \mathrm{~m}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

The required relief load is calculated using (Eq. 21) and (Eq. 22):

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q=(43200)(1.0)(50.9)^{0.82}=1,084,000 \mathrm{~W} \\
w=3.6 \frac{(1,084,000)}{280.8}=13,900 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}
\end{gathered}
$$

The results calculated above are compared to results obtained in Aspen HYSYS in Table 11.

| Variable | Units | Example Calculation | Aspen HYSYS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vessel Type |  | Vertical | Vertical |
| Bottom Head Included? |  | Yes | Yes |
| Vessel Diameter $(D)$ | m | 3.5 | 3.500 |
| Vessel T/T Length $(L)$ | m | 8.0 | 8.000 |
| Vessel Liquid Level $(L L)$ | m | 3.0 | 3.000 |
| Vessel Elevation Above Grade | m | 0.0 | 0.000 |
| Additional Area |  | $10 \%$ | $10.00 \%$ |
| Environment Factor $(F)$ |  | 1.0 | 1.000 |
| Latent Heat $(\lambda)$ | $\mathbf{2 8 0 . 8}$ | 280.8 |  |
| Adequate drainage and <br> firefighting present? |  | Yes | Yes |
| Heat Input Area $\left(A_{w S}\right)$ | $\mathrm{m}{ }^{2}$ | 50.9 | 50.89 |
| Heat Input $(Q)$ | kg $) \mathrm{h}$ | 13,900 | $3,901,000 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Required Relieving Flow | Blue $=$ Calculation input | Gray $=$ Calculated value |  |
|  |  | 13,890 |  |

Table 11: Comparison of example calculation and Aspen HYSYS calculation for external fire on a vertical wetted vessel


Figure 12: External fire case with a wetted horizontal vessel calculated in Aspen HYSYS

## Wetted Fire Example with a Horizontal Vessel

The example is based on the following conditions:

| Composition | $50 \%$ propane, $50 \%$ isobutane using the Aspen <br> HYSYS PR package for physical properties |
| :--- | :--- |
| Normal operating conditions | $1000 \mathrm{kPaa}, 85 \%$ vapor |
| Relief pressure | 12 barg set pressure $+21 \%$ allowable overpressure <br> $=14.52$ barg |
| Vessel | Horizontal, 3.5 m diameter, 8 m T/T length, with <br> $2: 1$ ellipsoidal heads; 0 m above grade; normal <br> liquid level is 1.5 m |
| Additional fire area | $10 \%$ to allow for process piping |
| Insulation | No fireproof insulation is present |
| Drainage and firefighting | Adequate drainage and firefighting are not present |
| Latent heat | The latent heat of the liquid at relieving conditions <br> is estimated to be $280.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg}$ |

The fraction of the total area of the horizontal shell that is wetted may be computed as shown below.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\cos \theta=\frac{r-h}{r} \\
\theta=1.427 \\
f_{w s}=\frac{2 \theta}{2 \pi}=\frac{\theta}{\pi}=0.454 \\
A_{\text {shell }}=f_{w s} \pi D L=39.97 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
B=\sqrt{1+12(h / D-0.5)^{2}}=1.030 \\
A_{\text {head }}=2 \times \frac{\pi D^{2}}{8}\left[B(h / D-0.5)+1+0.2887 \ln \left(\frac{3.464(h / D-0.5)+B}{2-\sqrt{3}}\right)\right]=11.89 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
A_{w s}=1.10 \times\left(A_{\text {shell }}+A_{\text {head }}\right)=57.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

The required relief load is calculated using (Eq. 21) and (Eq. 22):

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q=(70900)(1.0)(57.0)^{0.82}=1,952,000 \mathrm{~W} \\
w=3.6 \frac{(1,952,000)}{280.8}=25,030 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}
\end{gathered}
$$

The results calculated above are compared to results obtained in Aspen HYSYS in Table 12.

| Variable | Units | Example Calculation | Aspen HYSYS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Vessel Type |  | Horizontal | Horizontal |  |
| Vessel Diameter $(D)$ | m | 3.5 | 3.500 |  |
| Vessel T/T Length $(L)$ | m | 8.0 | 8.000 |  |
| Vessel Liquid Level $(L L)$ | m | 1.5 | 1.500 |  |
| Vessel Elevation Above Grade | m | 0.0 | 0.000 |  |
| Additional Area |  | $10 \%$ | $10.00 \%$ |  |
| Environment Factor $(F)$ |  | 1.0 | 1.000 |  |
| Latent Heat $(\lambda)$ | $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 0 . 8}$ | 280.8 |  |
| Adequate drainage and <br> firefighting present? |  | 57.0 | No |  |
| Heat Input Area $\left(A_{\text {WS }}\right)$ | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $1,952,000 \mathrm{~W}$ | $6,924,000 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |
| Heat Input $(Q)$ |  | 25,030 | 24,660 |  |
| Required Relieving Flow | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{h}$ | Gray $=$ Calculated value |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 12: Comparison of example calculation and Aspen HYSYS calculation for external fire on a horizontal wetted vessel

## Wetted Fire Example with a Spherical Vessel

The example is based on the following conditions:

| Composition | $50 \%$ propane, 50\% isobutane using the Aspen <br> HYSYS PR package for physical properties |
| :--- | :--- |
| Normal operating conditions | $1000 \mathrm{kPaa}, 85 \%$ vapor |
| Relief pressure | 12 barg set pressure $+21 \%$ allowable overpressure <br> $=14.52$ barg |
| Vessel | Spherical, 5 m diameter |
| Additional fire area | $10 \%$ to allow for process piping |
| Insulation | No fireproof insulation is present |
| Drainage and firefighting | Adequate drainage and firefighting are present |
| Latent heat | The latent heat of the liquid at relieving conditions <br> is estimated to be $280.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg}$ |

Five variations will be considered:

| 1 | Elevation of 6 m , liquid level of 2 m |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Elevation of 6 m , liquid level of 3 m |
| 3 | Elevation of 5 m , liquid level of 2 m |
| 4 | Elevation of 5 m , liquid level of 3 m |
| 5 | Elevation of 4 m , liquid level of 3 m |

The wetted area exposed to heat input will be calculated using the equation below.

Eq. $23 \quad A_{\text {sphere }}=\pi D h$
The appropriate value for $h$ depends on the variation of the example that we consider. In case 1 , the liquid level is above 7.6 m above grade, but below the equator of the vessel, so the full level of 2 m is considered. In case 2 , the liquid level is above 7.6 m above grade and above the equator of the vessel; the equator is higher, so that level of 2.5 m is used. In case 3 , the liquid level is not above 7.6 m above grade nor above the equator of the vessel, so the full level of 2 m is considered. In case 4 , the liquid level is above 7.6 m above grade and above the equator of the vessel; 7.6 m above grade is higher, so a level of ( $7.6 \mathrm{~m}-5 \mathrm{~m}=2.6 \mathrm{~m}$ ) is used. In case 5, the liquid level is below 7.6 m above grade and below the equator of the vessel, so the full level of 3 m is considered.


Figure 13: External fire case with a wetted spherical vessel calculated in Aspen HYSYS

The resulting wetted areas are:

| 1 | $A_{w S}=\pi(5)(2)$ | $=31.4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $A_{w S}=\pi(5)(2.5)$ | $=39.3 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| 3 | $A_{w s}=\pi(5)(2)$ | $=31.4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| 4 | $A_{w s}=\pi(5)(2.6)$ | $=40.8 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| 5 | $A_{w s}=\pi(5)(3)$ | $=47.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |

The required relief loads are:

| 1 | $w=\left(43200(31.4)^{0.82}\right) /(280.8 \times 3.6)$ | $=9,351 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $w=\left(43200(39.3)^{0.82}\right) /(280.8 \times 3.6)$ | $=11,240 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 3 | $w=\left(43200(31.4)^{0.82}\right) /(280.8 \times 3.6)$ | $=9,351 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 4 | $w=\left(43200(40.8)^{0.82}\right) /(280.8 \times 3.6)$ | $=11,590 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 5 | $w=\left(43200(47.1)^{0.82}\right) /(280.8 \times 3.6)$ | $=13,030 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ |

The results calculated above for case 3 are compared to results obtained in Aspen HYSYS in Table 13.

| Variable | Units | Example Calculation | Aspen HYSYS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Vessel Type |  | Spherical | Spherical |  |
| Vessel Diameter $(D)$ | m | 5.0 | 5.000 |  |
| Vessel Liquid Level $(L L)$ | m | 2.0 | 2.000 |  |
| Vessel Elevation Above Grade | m | 4.0 | 4.000 |  |
| Additional Area |  | $0 \%$ | $0.0000 \%$ |  |
| Environment Factor $(F)$ |  | 1.0 | 1.000 |  |
| Latent Heat $(\lambda)$ | $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 280.8 | 280.8 |  |
| Adequate drainage and <br> firefighting present? |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Heat Input Area $\left(A_{w s}\right)$ | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 31.4 | 31.42 |  |
| Heat Input $(Q)$ |  | $729,400 \mathrm{~W}$ | $2,626,000 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |
| Required Relieving Flow | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{h}$ | 9,351 | 9,353 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 13: Comparison of example calculation and Aspen HYSYS calculation for external fire on a spherical wetted vessel

## Unwetted Fire Equations

The required relief load for a vessel filled with vapor (or vapor-like supercritical fluid) exposed to a fire are obtained from API Standard 521. ${ }^{1}$

The required relief load is calculated using the following equations, where for Equation $24, k$ is the ideal gas specific heat ratio $C_{P} /\left(C_{P}-R\right)$.

Eq. 24

$$
C=0.0395 \sqrt{k\left(\frac{2}{k+1}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{k-1}}}
$$

For Equation $25, T_{1}$ is the temperature at the upstream relieving pressure in $K, T_{n}$ is the normal operating temperature in $K$, and $p_{1} / p_{n}$ is the ratio of relief to normal operating pressure in kPaa .

Eq. $25 \quad T_{1}=\frac{p_{1}}{p_{n}} T_{n}$
For Equation 26, $T_{W}$ is the maximum wall temperature of the vessel in K and $K_{D}$ is the coefficient of discharge of the relief valve (a value of 0.975 is typically used for preliminary design calculations).

Eq. 26

$$
F^{\prime}=\frac{0.2772}{C K_{D}}\left[\frac{\left(T_{w}-T_{1}\right)^{1.25}}{T_{1}^{0.6506}}\right]
$$

A minimum value of 182 should be used for $F^{\prime}$.

For equation 27, $w$ is the required relief load in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{h}, M$ is the molecular weight of the fluid, and $A^{\prime}$ is the vessel area exposed to fire, which is calculated using the same method as the wetted area for a liquid-full vessel exposed to fire.

Eq. $27 \quad w=0.2772 \sqrt{M \cdot p_{1}}\left[\frac{A^{\prime}\left(T_{w}-T_{1}\right)^{1.25}}{T_{1}{ }^{1.1506}}\right]$

## Unwetted Fire Example

The example is based on the following conditions:

| Composition | $100 \%$ water using the Aspen HYSYS NBS Steam <br> package for physical properties |
| :--- | :--- |
| Normal operating conditions | $2600 \mathrm{kPaa} / 226.1 \mathrm{C}$ |
| Relief pressure | 25.17 barg set pressure $+10 \%$ overpressure $=27.7$ <br> barg $=2870 \mathrm{kPaa}$ |
| Maximum wall temperature | 866.5 K (from 1100 F ) |
| Vessel | Horizontal, $1.016 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{diameter} 3 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~T} /$,T length, with <br> $2: 1$ ellipsoidal heads; 0 m above grade |
| Additional fire area | $15 \%$ to allow for process piping |

Relief temperature is calculated using (Eq. 25):

$$
T_{1}=\frac{2870}{2600} 499.25=551.1 \mathrm{~K}
$$

Flashing the contents at relief pressure and temperature in Aspen HYSYS yields the following properties:
$k=1.210$

Then, the required relief load is calculated using (Eq. 24), (Eq. 26), and (Eq. 27):

$$
\begin{aligned}
C & =0.0395 \sqrt{(1.210)\left(\frac{2}{1.210+1}\right)^{\frac{1.210+1}{1.210-1}}}=0.0257 \\
F^{\prime} & =\frac{0.2772}{(0.0257)(0.975)}\left[\frac{(866.5-551.1)^{1.25}}{551.1^{0.6506}}\right]=242.1
\end{aligned}
$$

This value is greater than 182, so no modification is needed to proceed.


Figure 14: External fire case with an unwetted horizontal vessel calculated in Aspen HYSYS

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{\text {shell }}=\pi D L=9.58 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
A_{\text {heads }}=2 \times 1.084 D^{2}=2.24 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
A^{\prime}=1.15 \times\left(A_{\text {shell }}+A_{\text {heads }}\right)=13.6 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
w=0.2772 \sqrt{(18.02)(1600)}\left[\frac{13.6(866.5-551.1)^{1.25}}{551.1^{1.1506}}\right]=799.1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}
\end{gathered}
$$

The results calculated above are compared to results obtained in Aspen HYSYS in Table 14.

| Variable | Units | Example Calculation | Aspen HYSYS |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Wall Temperature |  | 866.5 K | 593.3 C |  |  |
| Operating Temperature | C | 226.1 |  |  |  |
| Operating Pressure | kPaa | 2600 |  |  |  |
| Vessel Type |  | Horizontal | Horizontal |  |  |
| Vessel Diameter $(D)$ | m | 1.016 | 1.016 |  |  |
| Vessel T/T Length $(L)$ | m | 3.0 | 3.000 |  |  |
| Vessel Elevation Above Grade | m | 0.0 | 0.000 |  |  |
| Additional Area |  | $15 \%$ | $15.00 \%$ |  |  |
| Heat Input Area $\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 13.6 | 13.59 |  |  |
| C |  | 0.0257 |  |  |  |
| $F^{\prime}$ |  | 242.1 |  |  |  |
| Required Relieving Flow | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{h}$ | 799.1 | 798.0 |  |  |
| Blue = Calculation input |  |  |  |  | Gray = Calculated value |

Table 14: Comparison of example calculation and Aspen HYSYS calculation for external fire on a horizontal unwetted vessel

## In Conclusion

Safety is of the highest priority to every process, and ensuring accurate, validated calculations is a key component of this work. To view additional validation papers, access tutorial documents and videos, and learn more about the tools AspenTech provides to address process safety work, please visit the safety page on our company website, today!
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