Predict Storage Tank Heat Transfer Precisely Use this procedure to determine the rate of heat transfer from a vertical storage tank when shortcut methods are inadequate.

Jimmy D. Kumana and Samir P. Kothari; Henningson, Durham, and Richardson, Inc. Chemical Engineering Magazine; March 22, 1982

Heating or cooling storage tanks can be a major energy expense at plants and tank farms. Though many procedures for calculating such heat-transfer requirements have been published^[1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10], the simplifying assumptions that they use can lead to significant errors in computed heat-transfer rates. This is of concern because efficient sizing of tanks, insulation, heaters, and coolers depends on accurate estimates of heat transfer to and from the various tank surfaces. And the ultimate value of accuracy increases as energy costs continue to rise.

The procedure presented here determines the heat transfer to or from a vertical, cylindrical storage tank seated on the ground - like the one in Fig. 1. It includes the effects of tank configuration, liquid level, ambient temperature, and wind speed, as well as temperature variations within the tank and between air and ground. A partially worked example shows how to use the technique, and how to do the calculations on a computer.

The theory

Storage tanks come in many different shapes and sizes. Horizontal cylindrical and spherical tanks are used for storage of liquids under pressure; but all atmospheric tanks tend to be vertical-cylindrical, with flat bottoms and conical roofs as shown in Fig. 1. The example presented here is for the latter configuration, but the procedure applies to any tank for which reliable heat-transfer correlations are available.

For the sake of simplicity, we assume that the tank contents are warmer than the ambient air, and that we are concerned with heat loss <u>**from**</u> the tank rather than heat gain. However, the method may, of course, be applied to either case.

Consider, then, the categories of surfaces from which heat may be transferred across the tank boundaries: wet or dry sidewalls, tank bottom, and roof. In the context used here, "wet" refers to the portion of the wall submerged under the liquid surface, whereas "dry" refers to the portion of the wall in the vapor space, above the liquid surface.

In general, the heating coils would be located near the bottom of the tank, in the form of flat "pancakes". Therefore, the temperature of the air (or vapor) space above the liquid level may be expected to be lower than the liquid itself. Experience has shown that the average bulk temperatures of the liquid and vapor space may be significantly (i.e., more than 5 °F) different, and they are treated accordingly in our procedure. Use of different liquid and vapor temperatures is an important departure from the traditional approach, which assumes the same value for both.

Our basic approach is to develop equations for calculating the heat loss from each of the four categories of surfaces, and then add the individual heat fosses to get the total heat loss. Thus:

For dry sidewall	$q_d = U_d A_d (T_V - T_A)$	(1)
For wet sidewall	$q_w = U_w A_w (T_L - T_A) .$	(2)
For tank bottom	$q_b = U_b A_b (T_L - T_G)$	(3)
For tank roof	$q_r = U_r A_r (T_V - T_A)$	(4)
Total	$Q = q_d + q_{ic} + q_b + q_c$	(5)



	ndividual film he	at-transfer coeff	icients	Tabl
Type/sur	face Dry wall	Wet wall	Roof	Bottom
Inside	h vw	h _{Lw}	hVr	hLb
Wall conducti	ion $\left(\frac{t_M}{k_M} + \frac{t_I}{k_I}\right)^{-1}$	$\left(\frac{t_M}{k_M} + \frac{t_I}{k_I}\right)^{-1}$	$\left(\frac{t_M}{k_M}\right)^{-1}$	$\left(\frac{t_M}{k_M}\right)$
Outside	Wih'Aw + hRd	WfhAw + hRw	W _f h _{Ar} + h _{Br}	ħ _G
Fouling	h _{Fd}	hFw	hFr	h _{Fb}
Note: Ta	nk roof and bottom	are uninsulated.		,

When using these equations in design or rating applications, we either assume the various temperatures for typical conditions or determine them by measurement. The area values are also easy to obtain:

$$A_{d} = \pi D \left(L - L_{w} \right) \cdots \cdots \cdots (6)$$

$$A_{w} = \pi D L_{w} \cdots \cdots (7)$$

$$A_{b} = \frac{\pi D^{2}}{4} \cdots \cdots (8)$$

$$A_{r} = \left(\frac{\pi D}{2} \right) \left(\frac{D^{2}}{4} + d^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdots \cdots (9)$$

The complications arise when we try to estimate the overall heat-transfer coefficients U_d , U_W , U_b , and U_r for the four surfaces of the tank. For the tank geometry chosen, these can fortunately be calculated from the individual film heat transfer coefficients in the conventional manner, using published correlations.

The overall coefficients

Table I shows the component coefficients for each surface. The overall heat-transfer coefficient for the Dry sidewall of the tank (U_d) is calculated as the sum of the resistances of vapor film, fouling, metal wall, insulation (if any), and outside air (convection plus radiation).

The outside-air heat-transfer coefficient (h_{AW}) is a function of wind velocity as well as temperature gradient. Stuhlbarg^[10] and Boyen^{[21} have presented data on the effect of

wind velocity and ΔT . With a little bit of manipulation, their data were replotted, yielding the "wind enhancement factor" (W,) in Fig. 2. By definition:



$$W_{f} = \frac{h_{Aw}}{h'_{Aw}} = \frac{h_{Ar}}{h'_{Ar}}$$
(10)

Therefore, once the outside-air coefficient for *still* air (h'_{Aw}) is known, the overall drysidewall coefficient at various wind velocities can be computed as:

$$\frac{1}{U_{d}} = \frac{1}{h_{Vw}} + \frac{t_{M}}{k_{M}} + \frac{t_{I}}{k_{I}} + \frac{1}{\left(W_{f}\dot{h_{Aw}} + h_{Rd}\right)} + \frac{1}{h_{Fd}} \cdots \cdots \cdots (11)$$

Similarly, the overall coefficients for the wet sidewall, bottom and roof surfaces are;

$$\frac{1}{U_{w}} = \frac{1}{h_{Lw}} + \frac{t_{M}}{k_{M}} + \frac{t_{I}}{k_{I}} + \frac{1}{\left(W_{f}\dot{h}_{Aw} + h_{Rw}\right)} + \frac{1}{h_{Fw}} \dots \dots (12)$$
$$\frac{1}{U_{b}} = \frac{1}{h_{Lb}} + \frac{t_{M}}{k_{M}} + \frac{1}{h_{G}} + \frac{1}{h_{Fb}} \dots \dots \dots (13)$$
$$\frac{1}{U_{r}} = \frac{1}{h_{Vr}} + \frac{t_{M}}{k_{M}} + \frac{t_{I}}{k_{I}} + \frac{1}{\left(W_{f}\dot{h}_{Ar} + h_{Rr}\right)} + \frac{1}{h_{Fd}} \dots \dots \dots (14)$$

Equations 13 and 14 assume that both the roof and bottom are not insulated, which is generally the case in temperate climates. We shall now review correlations for the individual heat-transfer coefficients needed to obtain the overall coefficients.

Individual film heat transfer coefficients

The film heat-transfer coefficients may be divided into four categories: convection from vertical walls, convection from horizontal surfaces, pure conduction, and radiative heat transfer. Within each category, correlations are presented for several flow regimes:

Vertical-wall film coefficients

These apply to the inside wall (wet or dry) and the inside wall (still air). For vertical plates and cylinders, Kato et al.^[6] recommend the following for liquids and vapors:

$$N_{Nu} = 0.138 N_{Gr}^{0.36} \left(N_{Pr}^{0.175} - 0.55 \right) \cdots \cdots \cdots (15)$$

where $0.1 < N_{Pr} < 40$ and $N_{Gr} > 10^9$

For isothermal vertical plates, Ede^[4] reported the following for liquids:

$$N_{Nu} = 0.495 \left(N_{Gr} \ N_{Pr} \right)^{0.25} \dots \dots \dots (16)$$

where $N_{Pr} > 100$ and $10^4 < (N_{Gr}N_{Pr}) < 10^9$, and for gases:

$$N_{Nu} = 0.0295 N_{Gr}^{0.40} N_{Pr}^{0.47} (1 + 0.5 N_{Pr}^{0.67})^{-0.40} \cdots \cdots \cdots (17)$$

where $N_{Pr}\sim 5$ and $(N_{Gr}N_{pr})>10^9$

For vertical plates taller than 3 ft, Stuhlbarg^[10] recommends;

$$h = 0.45 \ k \ L^{-0.75} \left(N_{Gr} \ N_{Pr} \right)^{0.25} \cdots \cdots \cdots (18)$$

where $10^4 < (N_{Gr}N_{Pr}) < 10^9$

Horizontal surface heat transfer coefficients

These coefficients apply to the roof and inside-bottom surfaces of the tank. The bottom is assumed flat. For surfaces facing $up^{[8]}$:

$$N_{Nu} = 0.27 \left(N_{Gr} \ N_{Pr} \right)^{0.25} \dots \dots \dots (20)$$

Both equations apply in the range 2 x $10^7 < (N_{Gr}N_{Pr}) < 3 x 10^{10}$

Equivalent coefficients for conductive heat transfer

The wall and insulation coefficients are derived from the thermal conductivities:

$$h_{M} = \frac{k_{M}}{t_{M}} \qquad \cdots (21)$$
$$h_{I} = \frac{k_{I}}{t_{I}} \qquad \cdots (22)$$

The coefficient for heat transfer to and from the ground is the coefficient for heat conduction from a semi-infinite solid^[9];

$$h_G = \frac{8k_G}{\pi D} \cdots \cdots (23)$$

Fouling coefficients

The coefficients h_{Fd} , h_{Fw} and h_{Fb} apply to the vapor and liquid at the wall, and the liquid at the bottom of the rank, respectively. These are empirical, and depend on the type of fluid and other factors such as tank cleaning. Generally, h_{Fd} is the greatest of the three and h_{Fb} the least, indicating that the greatest fouling resistance is at the bottom of the tank.

Equivalent coefficient for radiative heat transfer

The coefficient for sidewalls and roof depends on the emissivity of these surfaces, and is given by^[8]:

$$h_{R} = \frac{0.1713 \varepsilon}{(T_{ws} - T_{A})} \left[\left(\frac{T_{ws} + 460}{100} \right)^{4} - \left(\frac{T_{A} + 460}{100} \right)^{4} \right] \dots \dots \dots (24)$$

With these relationships, we now have the tools to calculate heat transfer to or from the tank.

Example

ABC Chemical Corp. has a single manufacturing plant in the U.S., and exports a high-viscosity specialty oil product to Europe. The oil is offloaded in Port City, and stored in a flat-bottom, conical-roof tank rented from XYZ Terminal Co. Ltd. The tank is located

January 19, 2009

outdoors and rests on the ground. It is equipped with pancake-type steam-heating coils because the oil must be maintained above 50 °F in order to preserve its fluidity. Other pertinent data are: tank diameter is 20 ft; tank height is 48 ft (to the edge of the roof); roof-incline is 3/4 in. per foot; tank sidewalls are 3/16-in. carbon steel; insulation is 1-1/2-in. fiberglass, on the sidewall only.

XYZ Terminal Co. does not have metering stations on the steam supply to individual tanks, and proposes to charge ABC Chemical for tank heating on the basis of calculated heat losses, using the conventional tables [1], and assuming a tank wall temperature of 50 °F. The project engineer from ABC Chemical decided to investigate how XYZ's estimate would compare with the more elaborate one described in this article.

First, the engineer collected basic data on storage and climate. Oil shipments from the U.S. arrive at Port City approximately once a month, in 100,000-gal batches. Deliveries to local customers are made in 8,000-gal tank trucks, three times a week on average. The typical variation in tank level over a 30-day period is known from experience.

The ambient temperature goes through a more complex cycle, of course. Within the primary cycle of 365 days, there are daily temperature variations. However, in the seasonal cycle, heat supply is required only during the winter months, when temperatures fall well below 50 °F.

Wind conditions at the storage site are not as well defined, and therefore much harder to predict. However, we can assume that the wind speed will hold constant for a short period, and calculate the heat loss for this unit period under a fixed set of conditions. The applied wind speed must be based on the known probability distribution of wind speeds at the site.

The procedure for determining the annual heat loss consists of adding up the heat losses calculated for each unit period (which could be an hour, 12 hours, 24 hours, or 30 days, as appropriate). This example demonstrates the calculation of heat loss for only one unit period, 12 hours, using an ambient temperature of 35 °F, a wind velocity of 10 mph, and a liquid level of 50%. The other data required are given in Table II. Note that the liquid temperature is controlled at 55 °F to provide a 5 °F margin of safety.

Since the Prandtl and Grashof Numbers occur repeatedly in the film heat-transfer coefficient equations and remain relatively unchanged for all the conditions of interest, let us first calculate their values. Thus, for the liquid phase:

$$N_{Gr} = \frac{L^{3} \rho^{2} g \beta \Delta T}{\mu^{2}} = 97.5 L^{3} \Delta T$$

January 19, 2009

$$N_{\rm Pr} = \frac{Cp \ \mu}{k} = 484$$

Similarly, for the vapor phase, $Na_{r} = 1.90 \times 10^7 L^3 \Delta T$, and $N_{Pr} = 0.28$. We can now calculate the individual film heat-transfer coefficients, using the appropriate L and ΔT values in the Grashof Number equations. This iterative process requires initial estimates for wall and ground temperatures, plus the wall temperatures.

Coefficient for vapor at wall (h_{Vw})

As an initial approximation, assume that the wall temperature is the average of the vapor and outside-air temperatures:

$$T_w = (50 + 35)/2 = 42.5 \ ^\circ F$$

Then find the Grashof number:

$$N_{Gr} = 1.90 \text{ x } 10^7 (\text{L} - \text{L}_w)^3 (\text{T}_V - \text{T}_w)$$

= 1.90 x 10⁷ (24)³ (7.5)
= 1.97 x 10¹²

Employing Eq. 15, find the Nusselt number and then the coefficient (k = 0.0 151, L = 48 ft, $L_w = 24$ ft):

$$N_{Nu} = 0.138 (N_{Gr})^{0.36} (N_{Pr}^{0.175} - 0.55) = 921.1$$

$$h_{Vw} = (921.1)(k)/(L - L_w) = 0.581 Btu/ft^2-h^{\circ}F$$

Coefficient for liquid at the wall (h_{Lw})

Here, neither N_{Pr} nor $(N_{Gr} N_{Pr})$ falls within the range of the applicable correlations (Equations 16, 18). Let us try both, again using an average for T_w :

$$T_w = (T_L + T_A) / 2 = 45 \ ^{o}F$$

N_{Gr} = 97.47 L₃ (T_L - T_w) = 1.35 x 10⁷

Using Equations 16 and 18, we get two estimates for the heat transfer coefficient (k = 0.12, $N_{Pr} = 484$):

To be conservative, we use the higher value:

$$h_{Lw} = 1.415 \text{ Btu/ft}^2 - h^{\circ}F$$

Coefficient for vapor at the roof (h_{Vr})

We consider this a flat plate, with a diameter of 20 ft and use Equation 20, again with an average T_w of 42.5 °F (k = 0.0151):

$$N_{Gr} = 1.9 \text{ x } 10^7 \text{ D}^3 (T_V - T_w) = 1.14 \text{ x } 10^{12}$$

 $h_{Vr} = (0.27 \text{ k/D})(N_{Gr} N_{Pr})^{0.25} = 0.154 \text{ Btu/ft}^2\text{-h-}^{\circ}\text{F}$

Coefficient for liquid at the tank Bottom (h_{Lb})

Assume that the ground temperature (T_G) is 5 °F above ambient, and use an average of liquid and ground temperatures as a first approximation for the tank bottom temperature:

$$T = (T_L + T_G) / 2 = (T_L + T_A + 5) / 2 = 17.5 \ ^{\circ}F$$

Then, figure the (Grashof number, and use Equation 19 to get the coefficient:

$$\begin{split} N_{Gr} &= 97.47 \text{ D}^3 (T_L - T_w) = 5.85 \text{ x } 10^6 \\ N_{Gr} N_{Pr} &= 2.83 \text{ x } 10^9 \\ h_{Lb} &= \textbf{1.05 Btu/ft}^2 \textbf{-h-}^{\circ} \textbf{F} \end{split}$$

Coefficient for the outside air at the roof (h'_{Ar})

Assume $T_{ws} = T_w$ since the roof is un-insulated, and get the coefficient for still air from Equation 19:

$$N_{Gr} = 1.9 \times 10^7 D^3 (T_{ws} - T_A) = 1.14 \times 10^{12}$$

 $h'_{Ar} = 0.663 Btu/ft^2-h^{-0}F$

Coefficient for the outside air at the wall (h'_{Aw})

Assume that the temperature drop across the film is one-fourth of the drop from the internal fluid to the external air (averaged for the wet and dry walls), and use: Equation 15 to calculate the coefficient:

$$\Delta T = 17.5/4 = 4.375 \text{ °F}$$

$$N_{Gr} = 1.9 \text{ x } 10^7 \text{ L}^3 \Delta T = 9.19 \text{ x } 10^{12}$$

$$h_{Aw}^{2} = 0.51 \text{ Btu/ft}^2\text{-h-°F}$$

Conduction Coefficients for ground, metal wall, and insulation $(h_{G} . \ h_{M}$ and $h_{I})$

These are straightforward, from Equations 21-23:

$$\begin{split} h_{M} &= k_{M}/t_{M} = 640 \; Btu/ft^{2} \text{-}h^{-0}F \\ h_{I} &= k_{I}/T_{I} = 0.224 \; Btu/ft^{2} \text{-}h^{-0}F \\ h_{G} &= 8 \; k_{G}/\pi D = 0.102 \; Btu/ft^{2} \text{-}h^{-0}F \end{split}$$

Radiation coefficients for dry and wet sidewall, and roof (h_{Rd}, h_{Rw}, h_{Rr}) As for the outside-air film coefficients, assume that $T_{ws} = T_A + 0.25 (T_{Bulk} - T_A)$, where T_{Bulk} is the temperature of the liquid or vapor inside the tank, if the surface is insulated. For the un-insulated roof, assume that $T_{ws} = T_A + 0.5(T_V - T_A)$. Then $T_{ws} = 38.75$ °F for the (insulated) dry sidewal1, $T_{ws} = 40$ °F for the wet sidewall, and $T_{ws} = 42.5$ °F for the roof. Using Equation 24, find the coefficient for each of the three cases:

> $h_{Rd} = 0.757 \text{ Btu/ft}^2\text{-}h^{-o}F$ $h_{Rw} = 0.759 \text{ Btu/ft}^2\text{-}h^{-o}F$ $h_{R} = 0.765 \text{ Btu/ft}^2\text{-}h^{-o}F$

Closing in on results

Table III summarizes the heat transfer coefficients just calculated, including the corrections for wind - h'_{Aw} and h_{Ar} are multiplied by 3.3 and 3.1, respectively, based on data for 10-mph wind in Fig. 2. Substituting these individual coefficients in Equations 11-14, we obtain the U values listed in Table III.

What remains to be done? When we began the calculations, we assumed that the outsidewall temperatures were related to the bulk fluid temperatures by:

> $T_w = T_A + 0.5 (T_{Bulk} - T_A)$ for un-insulated surfaces; $T_{ws} = T_A + 0.25 (T_{Bulk} - T_A)$ for insulated surfaces.

In order to calculate accurate coefficients for heat transfer, we must obtain better estimates of these wall temperatures. This requires an iterative procedure that can be programmed and run on a computer.

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Coefficient	Dry wall	Wet wall	Roof	Bottom
h_{Lw} - 0.98 - - h_{Vr} - - 0.181 - h_{Lb} - - - 0.619 h_G - - - 0.619 h_G - - - 0.102 h'_{Ar} - - 0.311 - h_{Ar}^* - 0.317 0.317 - - h_{Ar}^* - 0.096 - - - - h_{Ar}^* - - 0.966 -	hVw	0.463	-	-	-
h_{Vr} - - 0.181 - h_{Lb} - - - 0.619 h_G - - - 0.102 h'_{Ar} - - 0.311 - h_{Ar}^* - - 0.96 - h'_{Aw} 0.317 0.317 - - h_{Aw}^* 1.047 1.047 - - h_{Aw}^* 1.047 1.047 - - h_{Aw}^* 1.047 0.224 - - h_{F} 1.000 800 1.000 500 h_F 0.7500 0.7514 0.7500 - U^* 0.1392 0.1655 0.1636 0.0875	hlw	_	0.98		-
h_{Lb} - - - 0.619 h_G - - - 0.102 h'_{Ar} - - 0.31 - h_{Ar}^* - - 0.96 - h'_{Aw} 0.317 0.317 - - h_{Aw}^* 1.047 1.047 - - h_{Aw}^* 1.047 1.047 - - h_{Aw}^* 1.047 0.224 - - h_{M} 640 640 640 640 h_I 0.224 0.224 - - h_F 1.000 800 1.000 500 h_R 0.7500 0.7514 0.7500 - U^* 0.1392 0.1655 0.1636 0.0875	hVr	. –	· -	0.181	_
h_G - - - 0.102 h'_{Ar} - - 0.31 - h_{Ar}^* - 0.96 - h'_{Aw} 0.317 0.317 - - h'_{Aw} 0.317 0.317 - - h_{Aw}^* 1.047 1.047 - - h_{Aw}^* 1.047 0.1047 - - h_{Aw}^* 1.047 0.047 - - h_{Aw}^* 1.047 - - - h_{Aw}^* 0.317 0.317 - - h_{Aw}^* 1.047 1.047 - - h_{M}^* 640 640 640 640 h_I 0.224 0.224 - - h_F 1.000 800 1.000 500 h_R 0.7500 0.7514 0.7500 - U^* 0.1392 0.1655 0.1636 0.0875	hLb	-	-	-	0.619
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	hG			-	0.102
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	h'Ar		-	0.31	-
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	hAr*	÷ .		0.96	·
h_{Aw} *1.0471.047 h_M 640640640640 h_I 0.2240.224 h_F 1.0008001.000500 h_R 0.75000.75140.7500 U^* 0.13920.16550.16360.0875	h'Aw	0.317	0.317		-
h _M 640 640 640 640 h _I 0.224 0.224 - - h _F 1,000 800 1,000 500 h _R 0.7500 0.7514 0.7500 - U" 0.1392 0.1655 0.1636 0.0875	hAw*	1.047	1.047	-	-
hi 0.224 0.224 - - hF 1,000 800 1,000 500 hR 0.7500 0.7514 0.7500 U" 0.1392 0.1655 0.1636 0.0875	hM	640	640	640	640
hF 1,000 800 1,000 500 hR 0.7500 0.7514 0.7500 U" 0.1392 0.1655 0.1636 0.0875	hi	0.224	0.224		-
h _R 0.7500 0.7514 0.7500 U" 0.1392 0.1655 0.1636 0.0875	hF	1,000	800	1,000	500
U* 0.1392 0.1655 0.1636 0.0875	ħR	0.7500	0.7514	0.7500	
	U*	0.1392	0.1655	0.1636	0.0875
	For 10-mp	wind .			

For dry wall, the rate of heat loss is given by all three of the following:

$$q_{d} = U_{d}A_{d}(T_{v} - T_{A})\cdots(25)$$
$$q_{d} = h_{vw}A_{d}(T_{v} - T_{w})\cdots(26)$$
$$q_{d} = (h_{Rd} + h_{Aw})A_{d}(T_{ws} - T_{A})\cdots(27)$$

Solving Equation 25 and 27 for T_{ws} yields:

$$T_{ws} = \left(\frac{U_d}{\left(h_{Rd} + h_{Aw}\right)}\right) \left(T_V - T_A\right) + T_A \cdots \cdots (28)$$

Similarly, solving Equations 25 and 26 for $T_{\rm w}$ yields:

$$T_{w} = T_{V} - \left(\frac{U_{d}}{h_{Vw}}\right) \left(T_{V} - T_{A}\right) \cdots \cdots \left(29\right)$$

January 19, 2009

Using the same approach, now calculate T_w and T_{ws} for the wet wall, and T_w for the roof and bottom of the tank.

To find the correct wall temperatures, use the initial estimates of U and h values in Equations 28 and 29 (and in the parallel equations for the other surfaces) to get new T_W and T_{Ws} values. Table IV shows these temperatures after a second iteration. Using these new temperatures, recompute Grashof numbers, individual heat transfer coefficients and overall coefficients, and then iterate again to get a new set of T_W and T_{Ws} values. When the current and previous iteration's temperature estimates are the same (within a specified tolerance), the iteration is completed.

Table V lists the individual and overall coefficients after the second iteration. Although it is clear that additional iterations are needed, let us accept these values as sufficiently accurate for the present purpose. Then we can obtain the total heat transfer rate (Q) by using the U values in Equations 1 to 5 and summing~ Table VI shows the calculated heat-transfer rates through each boundary, and the total rate. Note that the roof and bottom of the tank account for only slight heat loss, despite being un-insulated.

This, of course, is for the unit period of time, when wind speed is 10 mph, the tank is half-full, and the air is 35 °F. Table VII shows how the results of unit-period heat losses can be tabulated and added to get the cumulative heat loss for a month or a year. Of course, this requires climatic data and tank level estimates for the overall time period.

Rate of heat transfer during unit period				Table VI
Surface	U, Btu/ft ² -h- ^o F	Area, ft ²	ΔT, oF	Q, Btu/h
Dry wall	0.1392	1,508	15	3,148.7
Wet wall	0.1655	1,508	20	4,991.5
Roof	0.1636	315	15	773.0
Bottom	0.0875	314	15	412.1
Total		3,645		9,325.3
Note: Total for 12 h period is 111,904 Btu				

Summing losses for unit periods yields heat loss for 30 days				Table VII
Period	Liquid Level, %	T _A , ^o F	Wind Speed, mph	Heat Loss, Btu
1	50	35	10	111,904
2	50	27	5	392,407
3	43	42	0	42,591
-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-
42	93	55	30	0
-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-
50	56	48	20	12,368
60	49	60	15	0
	Total fo	r 30 day peri	iod =	8,389,050

Comparison with other methods

Aerstin and Street^[1] offer a very simple method for calculating heat loss from tanks, For a tank with 1.5 in. of sidewall insulation and a wind speed of 10 mph, the recommended overall U (based on k = 0.019 for the insulation) is 0.14 for $\Delta T = 60$ °F and 0.14 for $\Delta T = 100$ °F. Adjusting these values for k = 0.028 and $\Delta T = 17$ °F as in our example, yields an overall U of 0.206 Btu/ft²-h-°F. The total exposed surface is 3,331 ft² (tank bottom not included) and thus, the overall rate of heat transfer by their method is:

Q = (0.206) (3,331) (17) = **11,666 Btu/h**

This compares with a heat loss of **8,913 Btu/h** (for the exposed surface) calculated by the procedure of this article – see Table VI. Thus, their method yields a result 31% too high in this case.

Stuhlbarg^[10] takes an approach similar to that proposed here, but his method differs in how the outside tank wall film coefficient is computed. Stuhlbarg recommends the use of a manufacturer's data table, and does not explicitly distinguish between the bulk liquid temperature and the outside-wall surface temperature in calculating the proper heat-transfer coefficient.

The algebraic method of Hughes and Deumaga^[5] resembles the one presented in this article in many ways. However, it does not recognize differences between liquid and

January 19, 2009

vapor temperatures inside the tank, nor does it account for the interaction between T and wind speed in calculating a wind-enhancement factor. Finally, even though their procedure requires iteration, the focus of the iterative efforts is to get better estimates of fluid properties, not tank wall temperatures.

Conclusions

Our engineer at ABC Chemical was able to negotiate a significant reduction in the heating charges proposed by the XYZ Terminal Co., which had used a shortcut method for its estimate, because the procedure presented here is rational and defensible. A rigorous solution of the iterations can easily be reached on a digital computer or even a programmable calculator, and the effort pays off in better design or operation criteria.

References

- 1. Aerstin, F., and Street, G., "Applied Chemical Process Design" Plenum Press, New York, (1978), p. 121.
- Boyen, J. L., "Thermal Energy Recovery", John Wiley & Sons, New York, (1978), p. 285.
- 3. Cordero, R., The cost of missing pipe insulation, Chem. Eng., (Feb 14, 1977), p. 77.
- 4. Ede, A. J, "Advances in Heat Transfer", Vol. 4, Academic Press, New York, (1967), p. 1.
- 5. Hughes, R., and Deumaga, V., Insulation saves energy, Chem. Eng., (May 27, 1974), p. 95.
- 6. 6, Kato, Nishiwaki, and Hirata, Intl. J. of Heat and Mass Transfer, (1968), p. 1117.
- 7. Kern. D. Q., "Process Heat Transfer", McGraw-Hill, New York, 1950, p. 217.
- 8. Perry, R. H., and Chilton, C. H., "Chemical Engineers' Handbook", 5th ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, (1973), p. 10-17.
- 9. Rohsenow, W. M., and Hartnett, J. P., "Handbook of Heat Transfer", McGraw-Hill, New York, (1973), p. 3-120
- 10.Stuhlbarg, D., How to design Tank Healing Coils, Pet. Refiner, vol. 38, No. 4 (1959), p. 143.

Nomenclature

Α	Area of heat transfer surface, ft^2 ; A_b is for the bottom floor, A_d is for the dry wall,
	A_w is for the wetted wall, and A_r is for the tank's roof
c _P	Specific heat at constant pressure, Btu/lb-°F
D	Tank outside diameter, feet
d	The height of the conical roof at its center, feet
g	The acceleration due to gravity, 4.17×10^8 ft/h ²
h	Individual film coefficient of heat transfer, Btu/ft ² -h- ^o F; h _{AW} is for air outside the
	walls, h_{Ar} is for air above the roof, h'_{AW} and h'_{Ar} are for still air; h_{Lw} is for liquid
	between the walls, h_{Lb} is for liquid near the bottom, h_{Vw} is for vapor near the
	walls, and h_{Vr} is for vapor near the roof.
h _F	Fouling coefficient, Btu/ft^2 -h-°F; h_{Fw} is for liquid at the walls, h_{Fb} is for liquid at
	the bottom floor, h_{Fv} is for vapor at the walls or the roof.
h _G	Heat transfer coefficient for the ground, Btu/ft ² -h- ^o F
h _f	Heat transfer coefficient for the tank's insulation, Btu/ft ² -h- ^o F
h _M	Heat transfer coefficient for the tank's metal, Btu/ft ² -h- ^o F
h _R	Heat transfer coefficient for radiation, Btu/ft ² -h- ^o F; h _{Rb} , is for the bottom floor,
	h_{Rd} , is for the dry wall, h_{Rw} , is for the wet wall, and h_{Rf} is for the roof.
k	Thermal conductivity, Btu/ft-h- ${}^{o}F$; k _g is for the ground, k _i is for the insulation, and
	$k_{\rm M}$ is for the metal wall.
L	Total length of the heat transfer surface, feet
L _W	Total length of the wetted surface, feet
N _{Gr}	The Grashof number, $(L^3 r^2 g \beta \Delta T/\mu^2)$
N _{Nu}	The Nusselt number, (hD/k) or (hL/k)
N _{Pr}	The Prandtl number, (Cpµ/k)
Q	Rate of heat transfer, Btu/h
q	Individual rate of heat transfer, Btu/h; q_b is for the bottom floor, q_d is for the dry
	wall, q_w is for the wetted wall, and q_r is for the roof section.
Т	Temperature, ${}^{\circ}F$; T _A is for ambient air, T _L is for the bulk liquid, T _V is for the
	vapor, T_g is for the ground, T_w is for the inside wall, and T_{ws} is for the outside
	wall.
ΔΤ	Temperature difference, °F
t	Surface thickness, feet; t_i is for the tank's insulation, and t_M is for the metal.
U	Overall heat transfer coefficient, Btu/ft^2 -h- ${}^{o}F$; U _b is for the bottom floor, U _d is for
	the dry wall, U_w is for the wetted wall, and U_r is for the roof section.
$\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{f}}$	Wind enhancement factor
β	Volumetric coefficient for thermal expansion, (1/°F)
μ	Bulk fluid's viscosity, lb/ft-h
ρ	Bulk fluid's density, lb/ft ³
3	Emissivity



The authors

Jimmy D. Kumana is Chief Process Engineer at Henningson, Durham and Richardson, Inc., P.O. Box 12744, Pensacola, FL 32575, where he is involved in all aspects of engineering design. He holds a B. Tech. degree from the Indian Institute of Technology, and an M.S. from the University of Cincinnati, both in chemical engineering. Mr. Kumana is registered as a professional engineer in four states, and is active in AIChE as chairman of his local section. He holds a patent on distillation of fuel-grade ethanol.



Samir P. Kothari was a process engineer with Henningson, Durham and Richardson, Inc. when this article was written. He recently joined Hoffmann-La Roche Inc., Nutley, NJ 07110, where he is engaged in designing chemical-process plants. Mr. Kothari holds a B.S. degree from M. Sayajirao University (India) and an M.S. from the University of Cincinnati, both in chemical engineering. He belongs to AIChE and has written several technical articles.